

Program Announcement
for the
Department of Defense
Defense Health Program
Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Reconstructive Transplant Research Program
Technology Development Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-16-RTRP-TDA
Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420 Military Medical
Research and Development

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- **Pre-Application Submission Deadline:** 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), September 21, 2016
- **Invitation to Submit an Application:** October 19, 2016
- **Application Submission Deadline:** 11:59 p.m. ET, December 14, 2016
- **End of Application Verification Period:** 5:00 p.m. ET, December 19, 2016
- **Peer Review:** February 2017
- **Programmatic Review:** March 2017

This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is one of two documents with instructions to prepare and submit an application for this funding opportunity. The second document, the General Application Instructions, is available for downloading from Grants.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Funding Opportunity Description.....	3
A. Program Description	3
B. FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas	3
C. Award Information.....	4
D. Eligibility Information	7
E. Funding	8
II. Submission Information	9
A. Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package	9
B. Pre-Application Submission Content.....	10
C. Full Application Submission Content.....	13
D. Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP	21
E. Submission Dates and Times	21
F. Other Submission Requirements.....	21
III. Application Review Information	22
A. Application Review and Selection Process.....	22
B. Application Review Process	22
C. Recipient Qualification	25
D. Application Review Dates	25
E. Notification of Application Review Results	25
IV. Administrative Actions.....	25
A. Rejection	25
B. Modification.....	26
C. Withdrawal.....	26
D. Withhold	26
V. Award Administration Information.....	27
A. Award Notice	27
B. Administrative Requirements	27
C. National Policy Requirements	27
D. Reporting.....	27
E. Award Transfers.....	27
VI. Version Codes and Agency Contacts.....	28
A. Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity and General Application Instructions Version.....	28
B. CDMRP Help Desk.....	28
C. Grants.gov Contact Center.....	28
VII. Application Submission Checklist.....	29

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) Reconstructive Transplant Research Program (RTRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency, Research, Development, and Acquisition (DHA RDA) Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs (OASD[HA]), the DHA RDA Directorate manages the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The managing agent for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP).

The RTRP was initiated in 2012 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit that has the potential to make a significant impact on improving the function, wellness, and overall quality of life for injured military Service members and Veterans, their caregivers and family members, and the American public. Appropriations for the RTRP from FY12 through FY15 totaled \$45 million (M). The FY16 appropriation is \$12M.

The RTRP challenges the scientific community to design innovative research that will foster new directions for, and address neglected issues in, the field of reconstructive transplantation, specifically vascularized composite allotransplantation (VCA)-focused research, also known as composite tissue allotransplantation. VCA refers to the transplantation of multiple tissues such as muscle, bone, nerve, and skin, and as a functional unit (e.g., a hand or face) from a deceased donor to a recipient with a severe injury. The RTRP closely aligns with the Joint Program Committee 8/Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program (JPC-8/CRM RP) mission to implement long-term strategies to develop knowledge and materiel products to reconstruct, rehabilitate, and provide definitive care for injured Service members. The ultimate goal for both the RTRP and JPC-8/CRM RP is to return injured Service members to duty and restore their quality of life.

Applications from investigators within the military Services and applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military Services, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other Federal Government agencies are highly encouraged. Though the RTRP award mechanisms support groundbreaking research, all projects must demonstrate solid scientific rationale with military-relevant utility.

B. FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas

To meet the intent of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award mechanism, applicants **must** address one or more of the Focus Areas listed below.

- Improve ex vivo VCA tissue preservation techniques or technologies to extend the time between procurement and transplantation, with a goal of 24 hours
- Graft clinical monitoring - acute and chronic, as applied to VCA
 - Development of non-invasive advanced imaging technologies
 - Development of biomarker profiling methods for early reliable detection of graft rejection

C. Award Information

The RTRP Technology Development Award mechanism is being offered for the first time in FY16. The FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award is intended *to support research critical for the translation of promising preclinical findings into products focused on reconstructive transplantation.*

Important aspects of this award mechanism include:

- **Study Design and Feasibility:** The proposed study design should be clearly described, rigorous, well-integrated, and support maximal reproducibility and translational feasibility. A statistical plan with appropriate power analysis should be included, if applicable.
- **Impact/Military Relevance:** The short- and long-term impact of the proposed research should be clearly articulated. Projects should address at least one of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas. All products in development should be responsive to the healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury, and/or their family members, caregivers or clinicians, as well as the general public. Collaboration with military researchers and clinicians is encouraged.
- **Transition Plan:** The transition plan should include potential funding and resources and show how the product will progress to the next level of development (e.g., clinical trials, delivery to the military or civilian market) after the completion of the award.
- **Preliminary Data:** Proof-of-concept demonstrating potential utility of the proposed product, or a prototype/preliminary version of the proposed product, should already be established. *Preliminary and/or published data that are relevant to reconstructive transplantation, and that support the rationale for the proposed study, must be included.*

Proposed research and product(s) to be developed may be a materiel item such as a biologic agent or device, or a knowledge-based product such as applying new methods to an existing technology. Examples of the types of research that may be supported include, but are not limited to:

- Development and validation of a new ex vivo VCA tissue preservation platform that extends the time between procurement and transplantation to 24 hours.
- Optimization of an imaging technology for non-invasive graft surveillance of VCA.
- Development and validation of a rapid biomarker assay to assess early signs of VCA rejection.

Rigor of Experimental Design: All projects should adhere to accepted standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of preclinical research. Core standards are described in Landis, S.C., et al. A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research, *Nature* 2012, 490:187-191 (www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7419/full/nature11556.html). While these standards were written for preclinical studies, the basic principles of randomization, blinding, sample-size

estimation, and data handling derive from well-established best practices in research and should be applied consistently across basic and translational studies. Projects that include research on animal models are required to submit [Attachment 8, Animal Research Plan](#), as part of the application package to describe how these standards will be addressed. Applicants should consult the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting *In Vivo* Experiments) guidelines to ensure relevant aspects of rigorous animal research are adequately planned for and, ultimately, reported. The ARRIVE guidelines can be found at https://www.elsevier.com/_data/promis_misc/622936arrive_guidelines.pdf.

Research Involving Animals: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with animals must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP) Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO), in addition to the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of record. IACUC approval at the time of submission is not required. Specific documents relating to the use of animals in the proposed research will be requested **if the application is selected for funding**. The ACURO must review and approve all animal use prior to the start of working with animals, including amendments to ongoing projects. PIs must submit the institutional animal use protocol, IACUC approval of that protocol, and a version of the animal use appendix titled “Research Involving Animals.” ***Allow at least 2 to 3 months for ACURO regulatory review and approval processes for animal studies.*** Refer to General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, for additional information.

Research involving human subjects and human anatomical substances is permitted; however, clinical trials are not allowed under this funding opportunity. A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of human subjects in which an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested with a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to exploratory information, safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the human subject of that intervention or interaction. For more information on clinical trials and clinical research, a Human Subject Resource Document is provided at <https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>.

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRMC ORP, Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of submission is **not** required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB/EC. ***Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes.*** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (<https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>) for additional information.

Use of Military and VA Populations or Resources: If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, the PI is responsible for establishing such access. If possible, access to target active duty military and/or VA patient populations/ resources should be confirmed at the time of application submission by inclusion of a letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military Service members, Veterans, military- and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources. Note that access to a Veteran population for clinical studies may only be obtained by either collaboration with a VA investigator, where the VA investigator has a substantial role in the research, or by advertising to the general public. Use Attachment 2 to provide this documentation (see [Section II.C., Full Application Submission Content, Supporting Documentation](#)).

DoD and VA Collaboration and Alignment Encouraged: Relevance to the healthcare needs of the Armed Forces and Veterans, as well as their family members, caregivers, or clinicians, is a key feature of this award. Therefore, PIs are strongly encouraged to collaborate, integrate, and/or align their research projects with military and/or VA research laboratories and programs. The following websites may be useful in identifying information about ongoing DoD and VA areas of research interest:

Air Force Research Laboratory
<http://www.wpafb.af.mil/afrl>

Armed Forces Institute of Regenerative
Medicine
<http://www.afirm.mil>

Center for Neuroscience and Regenerative
Medicine
<http://www.usuhs.mil/cnrm/>

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine
Research Program
<https://crmp.amedd.army.mil>

Combat Casualty Care Research Program
<https://ccc.amedd.army.mil>

Congressionally Directed Medical Research
Programs
<http://cdmrp.army.mil>

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
<http://www.darpa.mil>

Defense Health Agency – Research,
Development, and Acquisition Directorate
<http://www.health.mil/About-MHS/Defense-Health-Agency/Research-Development-Acquisition>

Defense Technical Information Center
<http://www.dtic.mil>

Military Infectious Diseases Research
Program
<https://midrp.amedd.army.mil>

Military Operational Medicine Research
Program
<https://momrp.amedd.army.mil>

National Center for Telehealth and
Technology
<http://t2health.org/>

National Museum of Health and Medicine
<http://www.medicalmuseum.mil/index.cfm>

Naval Health Research Center
<http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nhrc>

Navy and Marine Corps Public Health
Center
<http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nmcphc>

Office of Naval Research
<http://www.med.navy.mil>

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for
Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics
<http://www.acq.osd.mil/>

U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
<https://www.usamraa.army.mil/>

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
<https://mrmc.amedd.army.mil>

U.S. Army Research Laboratory
<http://www.arl.army.mil>

U.S. Department of Defense Blast Injury Research Program
<https://blastinjuryresearch.amedd.army.mil/>

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
<https://www.nrl.navy.mil>

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research and Development
<http://www.research.va.gov>

Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
<http://wrair-www.army.mil>

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K.

D. Eligibility Information

- Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include Federal agencies, national, international, for-profit, nonprofit, public, and private organizations.
- An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Submissions from intramural (DoD) organizations are allowed and encouraged for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Applicants submitting through their intramural organizations are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. *If an investigator at an intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator's involvement.*
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

E. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is **3** years.
- The anticipated total costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed **\$1M**. Indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government exceeding **\$1M** total costs or using an indirect rate exceeding the organization's negotiated rate.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **3** years.

For this award mechanism, direct costs must be requested for:

- Travel costs for the PI(s) to disseminate project results at one DoD RTRP In Progress Review (IPR) meeting. For planning purposes, it should be assumed that the meeting will take place in year 2 of the award and be held in the National Capital Area. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Research-related subject costs
- Clinical research costs (*clinical trials NOT allowed*)
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel
- Travel costs for up to one investigator to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year, in addition to the required IPR meeting described above.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural (DoD) agencies and other Federal agencies may be managed through a direct fund transfer (e.g., the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request [MIPR]; Funding Authorization Document [FAD] process; or DD Form 1144 Support Agreement). Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a DoD agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. ***For Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.4. of the General Application Instructions.***

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately \$2M of the \$12M FY16 RTRP appropriation to fund approximately 2 Technology Development Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (<https://eBRAP.org/>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for registration and submission requirements for eBRAP and Grants.gov.

The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Appendix 3 of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance. A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization's representatives and PIs to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate Grants.gov application files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent for the entire pre-application and application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application deadline.

Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. ***The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.*** Prior to the full application deadline, a corrected or modified full application package may be submitted. Other application components may be changed until the end of the [application verification period](#). After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

A. Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package

To obtain the Grants.gov application package, including all required forms, perform a basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number W81XWH-16-RTRP-TDA in Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

B. Pre-Application Submission Content

The pre-application process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. During the pre-application process, each submission is assigned a unique log number by eBRAP. This unique eBRAP log number will be needed during the application process on Grants.gov.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (<https://eBRAP.org/>). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Tab 1 – Application Information**
- **Tab 2 – Application Contacts**
 - Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form). The Business Official must either be selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
 - Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form), and click on “Add Organizations to this Pre-application.” The organization(s) must either be selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
 - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.
- **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**
 - Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.
 - [FY16 RTRP Programmatic Panel](#) members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to Programmatic Panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to [Section IV.C., Withdrawal](#), or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in application preparation, research, or other duties for submitted applications. For FY16, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (<http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess.shtml>). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage conflicts of interest (COIs) are provided and deemed appropriate by the Government. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for detailed information.

- **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**

- List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship). Refer to Appendix 1, Section C, of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding COIs.

- **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**

Note: Upload documents as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.

Preproposal Narrative (three-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

- **Technology Development Product:** Describe the product (materiel or knowledge-based) that is the focus of the proposed research study and briefly compare to existing technologies, as applicable. State the scientific rationale, the preclinical findings that support the need for the proposed product, and a description of how proof-of-concept has been demonstrated.
- **Hypothesis or Objective:** State the hypothesis to be tested or the objective(s) to be reached.
- **Specific Aims and Study Design:** Concisely state the project’s specific aims and describe the scientific approach and how it will accomplish the study aims. Include a description of controls, as appropriate, and demonstrate that the work has appropriate statistical power.
- **Impact and Focus Area(s):** Describe the short- and long-term impact of the proposed research and product(s) on the field of reconstructive transplant research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including the impact on one or more of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas.

- **Military Relevance:** Describe how the proposed research project is responsive to healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury, and/or their family members, caregivers or clinicians, as well as the general public.

Pre-Application Supporting Documentation: The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application *must be uploaded as individual files* and are limited to:

- References Cited (one-page limit): List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.
 - PI Biographical Sketches (five-page limit per individual). *All biographical sketches should be uploaded as a single combined file.* Biographical sketches should be used to demonstrate background and expertise through education, positions, publications, and previous work accomplished.
- **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**
 - This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

- **Pre-Application Screening Criteria**

To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the FY16 RTRP pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:

- **Technology Development Product:** How well the pre-application focuses research on a defined product (materiel or knowledge-based) that will address an unmet need in reconstructive transplantation. Whether the product is based on promising preclinical findings, sound scientific rationale, and demonstrated proof-of-concept.
- **Specific Aims and Study Design:** How well the specific aims and proposed methodology support the research hypothesis and/or objectives and the development of the product. How well the study design includes appropriate controls and statistical power.
- **Impact and Focus Area:** The degree to which the proposed research toward the development of product(s) (materiel or knowledge-based) will have potential short- and long-term impact on the field of reconstructive transplantation research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including the impact on one or more of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas.

- **Military Relevance:** How well the proposed research is responsive to the healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury, and/or their family members, caregivers or clinicians, as well as the general public.
- **Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results**

Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Invitations to submit a full application are based on the Pre-Application Screening Criteria as published above.

C. Full Application Submission Content

The application process should be started early on Grants.gov to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Verify the status of the applicant's organization's Entity registration in the SAM well in advance of the application submission deadline. Allow 3 to 4 weeks to complete the entire SAM registration process. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II, for additional information.

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.

All contributors and administrators to the application must use matching compatible versions of Adobe software when editing and preparing application components. The use of different software versions will result in corruption of the submitted file. See Section II.C. of the General Application Instructions for details on compatible Adobe software.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed Grants.gov application package for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The Grants.gov application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

Note: The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or Budget Form needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID *prior to the application submission deadline*.

The Grants.gov application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number assigned during pre-application submission to avoid delays in application processing.

Grants.gov application package components: For the Technology Development Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

Each attachment to the Grants.gov application forms must be uploaded as an individual PDF file in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in Appendix 2 of the General Application Instructions. For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Grants.gov will reject attachments with file names longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, Grants.gov has file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire Grants.gov application package may not exceed 200 MB.

- **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (15-page limit): Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.”** The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below. *The Project Narrative must include preliminary data that are relevant to reconstructive transplant and the proposed project.*

- **Background/Readiness:** Describe the product (materiel or knowledge-based) to be developed, and its proposed indication. Present the ideas and scientific rationale behind the proposed research project, and clearly demonstrate that there is sufficient evidence to support the proposed stage of research. Cite relevant literature. Describe previous experience most pertinent to this project. Include relevant preliminary data that support proof-of-concept of the proposed product or a prototype/preliminary version of the product (these data may be unpublished or from the published literature).
- **Hypothesis or Objective:** State the hypothesis to be tested and/or the objective(s) to be reached.
- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims to be funded by this application. If the proposed work is part of a larger study, present only tasks that would be funded under this FY16 RTRP award.

- **Study Design and Feasibility:**
 - Describe the study design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for evaluation.
 - Define the specific study outcomes and how they will be measured.
 - Provide a well-developed, well-integrated research strategy that supports the translational feasibility and promise of the approach.
 - Describe the statistical plan and power analysis as appropriate for the proposed research.
 - Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches.
 - If animal studies are proposed, briefly describe the key elements of the study/studies as they relate to the overall project; detailed information is required in Attachment 8, Animal Research Plan.
 - If human subjects or human anatomical substances will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples. Describe the availability of the proposed study population and past successes in recruiting similar populations. Describe how data will be handled, including rules for stopping data collection, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of data, how outliers will be defined and handled, statistical methods for data analysis, and identification of the primary endpoint(s). ***This award may not be used to conduct clinical trials, though limited clinical research in human subjects as a portion of the Statement of Work is permissible.***
- **Military Relevance:** Describe how the proposed research project would impact healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury, and/or their family members, caregivers or clinicians, as well as the general public.
- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation. Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.”** If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. ***There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.***
 - **References Cited:** List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - **List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols:** Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
 - **Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources:** Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional

facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

- Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
- Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.
- Letters of Collaboration (if applicable): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
- Letters Confirming Access to Military or VA Patient Populations or Resources (if applicable): If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, include a letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, confirming such access. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources.
- Letters of Commitment (if applicable): If the proposed study involves use of a commercially produced investigational technology or product, provide a letter of commitment from the commercial entity indicating the availability of the project for the duration of the study, support from the proposed phase of research, and support from the indication being tested.
- Intellectual Property
 - Intangible property acquired, created or developed under this award will be subject to all rights and responsibilities established at 2 CFR 200.315. Should the applicant intend to use, in the performance of this program, pre-existing, legally protected and perfected intangible property and for which no Federal funds had been used in the development of said property, the applicant must:
 - Clearly identify all such property;
 - Identify the cost to the Federal government for use or license of such property, if applicable; or
 - Provide a statement that no property meeting this definition will be used on this project.

- Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
- Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.
- **Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “TechAbs.pdf.”** The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. ***Do not include proprietary or confidential information.*** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- **Background/Readiness:** Describe the product to be developed and its proposed use. Present the ideas and scientific rationale behind the proposed research project.
- **Hypothesis or Objective(s):** State the hypothesis to be tested and/or the objective(s) to be reached.
- **Specific Aims/Study Design:** State the specific aims of the proposed research project, and briefly describe the study design, including appropriate controls.
- **Impact:** Briefly describe the short- and long-term impact of the proposed product on the field of reconstructive transplant research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including the impact on at least one of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas.
- **Military Relevance:** Briefly explain how the proposed project will have immediate or potential long-term benefit for the healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury, and/or their family members, caregivers or clinicians, as well as the general public.
- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “LayAbs.pdf.”** The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. ***Do not include proprietary or confidential information.*** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Do not duplicate the technical abstract. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- Clearly describe the objectives and rationale for the application in a manner readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.

- Describe the ultimate applicability and impact of the product.
 - What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them? Include the current available statistics to the related injury/condition.
 - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
 - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?
- Briefly describe how the proposed product will benefit Service members, Veterans, and/or their family members, caregivers, or clinicians, as well as the general public.
- What are the likely contributions of the proposed product to advancing the field of VCA research?
- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf.”** The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (<https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>). For the Technology Development Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW for Advanced Tech Development Research.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.
- **Attachment 6: Impact and Military Relevance Statement (two-page limit): Upload as “ImpactMilRel.pdf.”** Describe the short- and long-term impact of the proposed product on the field of reconstructive transplant research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including an assessment of the likelihood that a successful outcome will lead to a practical application in individuals recovering from traumatic injury. State the relevance of the proposed product development plan to at least one of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas, and explain the applicability of the proposed findings to improving clinical outcomes for individuals recovering from traumatic injury. Although not all-inclusive, the following are examples of ways in which research projects may have an impact, if successful:
 - Has the potential to advance the field of reconstructive transplant research.
 - Has the potential to change the standard of care.
 - Contributes to the development or validation of evidence-based policy or guidelines for patient evaluation and care.

In addition, demonstrate how the proposed research is responsive to the healthcare needs and quality of life of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury, and/or their family members, caregivers or clinicians, as well as the general public. If the active duty military, Veteran, or military family member population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed research, and the feasibility of using the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the targeted

population. If applicable, show how the proposed research project aligns with DoD and/or VA areas of research interest.

- **Attachment 7: Transition Plan (one-page limit): Upload as “Transition.pdf.”** Provide information on the methods and strategies proposed to move the product to the next phase of development (e.g., clinical trials, commercialization, and/or delivery to the civilian or military market) after successful completion of the award. Outline the regulatory strategy. Applicants are encouraged to work with their organization’s Technology Transfer Office, or equivalent, to develop the transition plan. The transition plan should include the components listed below.
 - The planned indication for the product label, if appropriate, and an outline of the development plan required to support that indication. Describe in detail the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulatory strategy, to include considerations for compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP), Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines, if appropriate.
 - Details of the funding strategy that will be used to bring the product to the next level of development and/or commercialization (e.g., specific potential industry partners, specific funding opportunities to be applied for).
 - For knowledge products, a description of how the knowledge will be further developed, disseminated, and incorporated into clinical care/practice.
 - A description of collaborations and other resources that will be used to provide continuity of development.
 - A brief schedule and milestones for bringing the outcome(s) to the next phase of development, commercialization, and/or delivery to the military or civilian market, including when it can be anticipated to be transitioned to an industry partner or approved by the FDA, if applicable.
 - A risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability.
 - If applicable, ownership rights and/or access to the intellectual property necessary for the development and/or commercialization of products or technologies supported with this award and the Government’s ability to access such products or technologies in the future.
- **Attachment 8: Animal Research Plan (five-page limit): Upload as “AnimalPlan.pdf.”** When the proposed study involves animals, the applicant is required to submit a summary describing the animal research that will be conducted. Applicants should not submit a verbatim replica of the protocol(s) to be submitted to the IACUC as the Animal Research Plan. The Animal Research Plan should address the following points for each proposed animal study:
 - Briefly describe the research objective(s) of the animal study. Explain how and why the animal species, strain, and model(s) being used can address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the study’s relevance to human biology.

- Summarize the procedures to be conducted. Describe how the study will be controlled.
 - Describe the randomization and blinding procedures for the study, and any other measures to be taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during animal treatment and assessment of results. If randomization and/or blinding will not be utilized, provide justification.
 - Provide a sample size estimate for each study arm and the method by which it was derived, including power analysis calculations.
 - Describe how data will be handled, including rules for stopping data collection, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of data, how outliers will be defined and handled, statistical methods for data analysis, and identification of the primary endpoint(s).
- **Attachment 9: Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s), if applicable: Upload as “MFBudget.pdf.”** If a Military Facility (military health system facility, research laboratory, treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form, available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (<https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>), including a budget justification, for each Military Facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

3. Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (six-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.” The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (<https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the portable document format (pdf) that is not editable.

Biographical Sketches should be used to demonstrate background and expertise through education, positions, publications, and previous work accomplished.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (six-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

4. **Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.
 - Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.” The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.
5. **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.
6. **R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

Collaborating DoD Military Facilities Form: A Military Facility collaborating in the performance of the project should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. However, do not complete the Grants.gov R & R Subaward Budget Attachment Form; instead, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form (use Attachment 9, Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

D. Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of an application submitted to Grants.gov. Following retrieval and processing of the Grants.gov application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the Grants.gov application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. ***If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.*** The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

E. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

F. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All extramural applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for information on Grants.gov registration requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. Each application is evaluated for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the DHA RDA Directorate and the OASD(HA), based on technical merit, the relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY16 RTRP, the specific intent of the award mechanism, and to other specified evaluation criteria in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Programmatic review is a comparison-based process in which applications with scientific and technical merit compete in a common pool. *The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in [Section III.B.2., Programmatic Review](#).* Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.shtml>.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

- 1. Peer Review:** To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are listed in decreasing order of importance:
 - **Study Design and Feasibility**
 - How well the scientific rationale supports the project and its feasibility, as demonstrated by a critical review and analysis of the literature, supporting data, and logical reasoning.

- To what extent the outcomes will support the translation of promising preclinical findings into a product for clinical applications.
- How well the hypothesis or objective(s) and specific aims are developed.
- How well the experimental design, methods, data collection procedures, and analyses are developed and support completion of the aims.
- The degree to which the expected outcomes are specific and measurable.
- If applicable, to what degree the statistical plan and power analysis are appropriate for the proposed project.
- How well the application acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
- If applicable, the degree to which the plan to study patient populations is appropriate and feasible, and whether the application provides evidence of availability of and access to the necessary study population(s) and/or resource(s).
- Whether the research can be completed within the proposed period of performance.
- How well the study (or studies) is designed to achieve the objectives, including the choice of model, if applicable, and the endpoints/outcome measures to be used.
- How well the study (or studies) is designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including controls, sample size estimation, blinding, randomization, and data handling.
- **Impact**
 - To what extent the project impacts a central critical problem or question in at least one of the FY16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas.
 - How the proposed project, if successful, will make important scientific advances in the field of reconstructive transplant research.
 - To what degree the proposed project could, if successful, make a significant impact on the lives of individuals recovering from traumatic injury in the short term or long term.
- **Transition Plan**
 - Whether the funding strategy described to bring the outcome(s) to the next level of development (e.g., clinical trial, transition to industry, delivery to the market, progression toward incorporation into standard practice) is appropriate.
 - How the regulatory strategy and development plan to support the proposed product label, if applicable, are appropriate and well described.
 - To what extent the collaborations and other resources (established or planned) described are appropriate and will provide continuity of development.

- How the schedule and milestones for bringing the outcome(s) to the next level of development (e.g., clinical trial, transition to industry, delivery to the market, progression toward incorporation into standard practice) are appropriate and feasible.
- How well the risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability is developed.
- How well the application identifies intellectual property plan ownership, describes an appropriate intellectual and material property plan among participating organizations (if applicable), and addresses any impact of intellectual property issues on product development and subsequent Government access to products supported by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- **Statistical Plan**
 - If applicable, to what degree the statistical plan and power analysis are appropriate for the proposed project.
- **Personnel**
 - How the background and expertise of the PI and other key personnel demonstrate their ability to perform the proposed work.
 - How the levels of effort by the PI and other key personnel are appropriate to ensure the successful conduct of the project.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Environment**
 - How the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research.
 - How the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).
 - How the quality and extent of organizational support are appropriate for the proposed research.
- **Budget**
 - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- **Application Presentation**
 - To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

- 2. Programmatic Review:** To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:
- a. Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers**
 - b. Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY16 RTRP, as evidenced by the following:**
 - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
 - Program portfolio composition
 - Programmatic relevance to FT16 RTRP Technology Development Award Focus Areas
 - Relative impact and military relevance

C. Recipient Qualification

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.

- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different Funding Opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- An FY16 RTRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. *A list of the FY16 RTRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/rtrp/panels/panels16.shtml>.*
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY16, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (<http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess.shtml>). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage COIs are provided and deemed appropriate by the Government. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- A clinical trial is proposed.
- The PI does not meet the eligibility criteria.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to

provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2017. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD's implementation of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014 may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part 200, "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards" (2 CFR part 200).

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4 for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5 for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section H, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly technical progress reports and quad charts, as well as in-person presentation(s), will be required.

E. Award Transfers

An organizational transfer of an award is discouraged and will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and only allowed at the discretion of the Grants Officer. An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. VERSION CODES AND AGENCY CONTACTS

A. Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity and General Application Instructions Version

Questions related to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity should refer to the Program name, the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity name, and the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity version code 20160210j. The Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity numeric version code will match the General Applications Instructions version code 20160210.

B. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

C. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Grants.gov Application Components	Upload Order	Action	Completed
SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance		Complete form as instructed.	
Attachments Form	1	Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf."	
	2	Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf."	
	3	Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf."	
	4	Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf."	
	5	Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name "SOW.pdf."	
	6	Impact and Military Relevance Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name "ImpactMilRel.pdf."	
	7	Transition Plan: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name "Transition.pdf."	
	8	Animal Research Plan: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name "AnimalPlan.pdf," if applicable.	
	9	Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 9 with file name "MFBudget.pdf," if applicable.	
Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)		Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
		Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
		Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
		Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
Research & Related Budget		Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form		Complete form as instructed.	
R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form		Complete form as instructed.	