I. OVERVIEW OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Program Announcement for the Department of Defense

Defense Health Program

Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Parkinson’s Research Program

Early Investigator Research Award

Announcement Type: Initial

Funding Opportunity Number: HT9425-23-PRP-EIRA

Assistance Listing Number: 12.420 Military Medical Research and Development

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

• Pre-Application Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), July 27, 2023

• Confidential Letters of Recommendation Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. ET, August 23, 2023

• Application Submission Deadline: 11:59 p.m. ET, August 17, 2023

• End of Application Verification Period: 5:00 p.m. ET, August 23, 2023

• Peer Review: November 2023

• Programmatic Review: January 2024

This program announcement must be read in conjunction with the General Application Instructions, version 803. The General Application Instructions document is available for downloading from the Grants.gov funding opportunity announcement by selecting the “Package” tab, clicking “Preview,” and then selecting “Download Instructions.”
II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

II.A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23) Parkinson’s Research Program (PRP) are being solicited by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) using delegated authority provided by United States Code, Title 10, Section 4001 (10 USC 4001). The execution management agent for this program announcement is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) at the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (USAMRDC). The PRP was initiated in FY22 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit. In FY22, Congress transitioned the Neurotoxin Exposure Treatment Parkinson’s program to PRP and broadened the research from neurotoxin exposure treatment Parkinson’s disease (PD) research to all types of PD research. Appropriations for the PRP from FY22 through FY23 totaled $32 million (M). The FY23 appropriation is $16M.

*The proposed research must be relevant to active-duty Service Members, Veterans, military beneficiaries, and/or the American public.*

*The vision of the PRP is to improve the health and lives of people with Parkinson’s disease through innovative, clinically meaningful treatments.*

*The mission of the PRP is to support high-impact Parkinson’s research that alters disease progression, improves disease symptoms, and develops treatments that benefit Service Members, Veterans, and all others living with Parkinson’s disease.*

Applicants proposing research on the effects of toxic exposure are directed to the Toxic Exposures Research Program.

II.A.1. FY23 PRP Focus Areas

All applications to the FY23 PRP Early Investigator Research Award (EIRA) program announcement MUST address at least one of the following **FY23 PRP Focus Areas:**

- Biological mechanisms or biomarkers, including biologically informed clinical evaluations, of non-motor symptoms that could lead to the development of treatments for PD. Applications focused on laboratory models through to human subjects, including computational approaches, would be considered. Examples of non-motor symptoms of interest include, but are not limited to:
  - Cognitive dysfunction relevant to PD
  - Psychiatric dysfunction
  - Sleep and circadian rhythms disruptions
  - Autonomic dysfunction
• Sensory dysfunction
• Other non-motor symptoms including fatigue, apathy, and impulse control disorders

• Biological mechanisms or biomarkers associated with non-pharmacological interventions for PD. Examples of non-pharmacological interventions of interest include:
  • Exercise
  • Diet
  • Neuro-stimulation therapy
  • Neurosurgical

II.A.2. Award History

The PRP Early Investigator Research Award mechanism was first offered in FY22. Since then, 19 Early Investigator Research Award applications have been received, and 3 have been recommended for funding.

II.B. Award Information

The PRP EIRA supports research opportunities for investigators in the early stages of their careers, under the guidance of one or two designated Mentor(s). The Early Investigator is considered the Principal Investigator (PI) of the application and must exhibit strong potential for, and commitment to, pursuing a career as an investigator at the forefront of PD research; however, the PI is not required to have previous PD research experience. Applications must include at least one Mentor, appropriate to the proposed research project, who has experience in PD research and mentoring as demonstrated by a record of active funding, recent publications, and successful mentorship.

The following are important aspects of the EIRA:

*All applications for the EIRA are to be written by the PI, with appropriate direction from the Mentor(s).*

• **Principal Investigator:** The EIRA supports early-career investigators exploring innovative, high-impact ideas or new technologies applicable to PD research. The application should demonstrate the PI’s potential for, and commitment to, pursuing a career in treatment-related PD and/or patient care research under the guidance of one or two designated Mentor(s).

• **Researcher Development Plan:** The application must outline an individualized Researcher Development Plan. The Researcher Development Plan should include a clearly articulated strategy for acquiring the necessary skills, competence, and expertise that will enable the PI to successfully complete the proposed research project and foster the PI’s development as an independent researcher in PD research. An environment appropriate to the proposed mentoring and research at the PI’s institution must be clearly described. Additional
necessary resources and/or mentorship may be provided through collaboration(s) with other institutions. If the PI will be utilizing resources at another institution to successfully complete the proposed project, then the PI is strongly encouraged to designate a co-Mentor at the collaborating institution.

- **Focus Area:** The proposed research must address at least one of the two FY23 PRP Focus Areas.

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility:** Experimental strategies may be novel or may be based on strong rationale derived from previously published data, presented preliminary data, or literature review. The feasibility of the research design and methods should be well-defined, and a clear plan should be articulated as to how the proposed goals of the project can be achieved.

  - *Preliminary data are not required but are encouraged.* Any unpublished, preliminary data provided should originate from the PI, Mentor(s), or member(s) of the collaborating team. The preliminary data must support the feasibility of the study

- **Impact:** The proposed research, if successful, should impact an area of paramount importance to PD. The application must clearly and explicitly describe the potential short-term and long-term impacts of the proposed study and convey its level of significance. The research should benefit individuals with PD.

The types of awards made under the program announcement will be assistance agreements. An assistance agreement is appropriate when the federal government transfers a “thing of value” to a “state, local government,” or “other recipient” to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States instead of acquiring property or service for the direct benefit and use of the U.S. government. An assistance agreement can take the form of a grant or cooperative agreement. The level of involvement on the part of the Department of Defense (DOD) during project performance is the key factor in determining whether to award a grant or cooperative agreement. If “no substantial involvement” on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a grant award will be made (31 USC 6304). Conversely, if substantial involvement on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a cooperative agreement will be made (31 USC 6305), and the award will identify the specific substantial involvement. Substantial involvement may include, but is not limited to, collaboration, participation, or intervention in the research to be performed under the award. The award type, along with the start date, will be determined during the negotiation process.

The anticipated total costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for an FY23 PRP Early Investigator Research Award should not exceed $500,000. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed funding information.

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2024. For additional information refer to Section II.F.1, Federal Award Notices.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $2.5M to fund approximately five Early Investigator Research Award applications. Funding of applications received is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program as well as the number of applications
received, the quality and merit of the applications as evaluated by scientific and programmatic review, and the requirements of the government. Funds to be obligated on any award resulting from this funding opportunity will be available for use for a limited time period based on the fiscal year of the funds. It is anticipated that awards made from this FY23 funding opportunity will be funded with FY23 funds, which will expire for use on September 30, 2029.

Research involving human subjects and human anatomical substances is permitted; however, clinical trials are not allowed under this program announcement.

Research Involving Human Data, Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All DOD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human data, human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRDC Office of Human and Animal Research Oversight (OHARO), Office of Human Research Oversight (OHRO), prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of application submission is not required; however, local IRB/EC approval is necessary prior to OHRO review. Allow up to 3 months to complete the OHRO regulatory review and approval process following submission of all required and complete documents to the OHRO. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, and the OHARO web page https://mrdc.health.mil/index.cfm/collaborate/research_protections/hrpo for additional information.

As of January 20, 2020, U.S. institutions engaged in non-exempt cooperative research must rely on a single IRB to review and approve the portion of the research conducted at domestic sites in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations, Title 45, Part 46.114(b) (45 CFR 46.114[b]). If the proposed, non-exempt research involves more than one U.S.-based institution, a written plan for single IRB review arrangements must be provided at the time of application submission or award negotiation. The lead institution responsible for developing the master protocol and master consent form should be identified and should be the single point of contact for regulatory submissions and requirements.

A clinical trial is defined as a research study in which one or more human subjects are prospectively assigned to one or more interventions (which may include a placebo or another control) to evaluate the effects of the interventions on biomedical or behavioral health-related outcomes.

Studies that do not seek to measure safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy outcome(s) of an intervention are not considered clinical trials.

Clinical research encompasses research with patient samples, data, and interaction with patients that may or may not be considered a clinical trial. For the purposes of this funding opportunity, research that meets the definition of a clinical trial is distinct from clinical research. Clinical research is observational in nature and includes: (1) Research that does not seek to evaluate the effects of interventions. Research conducted with human subjects (or on material of human origin such as data, tissues, specimens, and cognitive phenomena) for which an investigator (or colleague) directly interacts with human subjects, but does not seek to assess the effects of an
intervention, qualifies as clinical research. Patient-oriented research may include but is not limited to: (a) mechanisms of human disease, (b) diagnostic or detection studies (e.g., biomarker or imaging), (c) health disparity studies, and (d) development of new technologies. (2) Epidemiologic and behavioral studies that do not seek to study the safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy outcomes of an intervention. (3) Outcomes research and health services research that do not fit under the definition of clinical trial. Excluded from the definition of clinical research are in vitro studies that utilize human tissues that cannot be linked to a living individual. Note: Studies that meet the requirements for exemption under §46.104(d)(4) of the Common Rule are not considered clinical research as defined by CDMRP. Exemption category 4 refers to secondary research for which consent is not required.

Use of DOD or Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Resources: If the proposed research involves access to active-duty military and/or VA patient populations and/or DOD or VA resources or databases, the application must describe the access at the time of submission and include a plan for maintaining access as needed throughout the proposed research. Refer to Section II.D.2.b.ii, Full Application Submission Components, for detailed information. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information.

Research Involving Animals: All research funded by the FY23 PRP EIRA involving new and ongoing research with animals must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRDC OHARO Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO), in addition to the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of record. IACUC approval at the time of submission is not required. Allow at least 3 to 4 months for ACURO regulatory review and approval processes for animal studies. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information.

II.C. Eligibility Information

II.C.1. Eligible Applicants

II.C.1.a. Organization: All organizations, including foreign organizations, foreign public entities, and international organizations, are eligible to apply.

Government Agencies Within the United States: Local, state, and federal government agencies are eligible to the extent that applications do not overlap with their fully funded internal programs. Such agencies are required to explain how their applications do not overlap with their internal programs.

As applications for this program announcement may be submitted by extramural and intramural organizations, these terms are defined below.

Extramural Organization: An eligible non-DOD organization. Examples of extramural organizations include academic institutions, biotechnology companies, foundations, federal government organizations other than the DOD, and research institutes.

Intramural DOD Organization: A DOD laboratory, DOD military treatment facility, and/or DOD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Intramural Submission: An
application submitted by a DOD organization for an intramural investigator working within a DOD laboratory or military treatment facility or in a DOD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.

The USAMRAA makes awards to eligible organizations, not to individuals.

II.C.1.b. Principal Investigator

To be named as the PI on the application, the investigator must be a postdoctoral or clinical fellow, instructor, or assistant professor within 10 years of advanced degree training or residency training completion.

An investigator may be named on only one PRP EIRA application as a PI.

An eligible PI, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or citizenship status, must be employed by or affiliated with an eligible organization.

The CDMRP strongly encourages all PIs to participate in a digital identifier initiative through Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc. (ORCID). Registration for a unique ORCID identifier can be done online at https://orcid.org/.

II.C.2. Cost Sharing

Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.

II.C.3. Other

Organizations must be able to access .gov and .mil websites in order to fulfill the financial and technical deliverable requirements of the award and submit invoices for payment.

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3.

Refer to Section II.H.2, Administrative Actions, for a list of administrative actions that may be taken if a pre-application or application does not meet the administrative, eligibility, or ethical requirements defined in this program announcement.

II.D. Application and Submission Information

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Inclusion of classified research data within the application and/or proposing research of which the anticipated outcomes may be classified or deemed sensitive to national security concerns may result in application withdrawal. Refer to the General Application Instructions Appendix 2, Section E.
II.D.1. eBRAP and Grants.gov

The electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (https://ebrap.org) is a secure web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications, view and verify extramural full applications submitted to Grants.gov (https://grants.gov), receive communications from the CDMRP, and submit documentation during award negotiations and throughout the period of performance. eBRAP also allows intramural organizations to submit full applications following pre-application submission.

Grants.gov is a federal system required to be utilized by agencies to receive and process extramural grant applications. Full applications may only be submitted to Grants.gov after submission of a pre-application through eBRAP.

Contact information for the eBRAP Help Desk and the Grants.gov Contact Center can be found in Section II.G, Federal Awarding Agency Contacts.

Extramural Submission:

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at Grants.gov.

Intramural DOD Submission:

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.

Note: Applications from an intramural DOD organization or from an extramural federal government organization may be submitted to Grants.gov through a research foundation.

II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission

Submission is a two-step process requiring both pre-application (eBRAP.org) and full application (eBRAP.org or Grants.gov) as indicated below. The submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Full application submission guidelines differ for extramural (Grants.gov) and intramural (eBRAP.org) organizations (refer to Table 1, Full Application Guidelines).

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent throughout the entire pre-application and full application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit or negate the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application submission deadline.
II.D.2.a. Step 1: Pre-Application Submission Content

During the pre-application process, eBRAP assigns each submission a unique log number. This unique eBRAP log number is required during the full application submission process.

To begin the pre-application process, first select whether the submitting organization is extramural or intramural, then confirm your selection or cancel. Incorrect selection of extramural or intramural submission type will delay processing.

If an error has been made in the selection of extramural versus intramural and the pre-application submission deadline has passed, the PI or Business Official must contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 to request a change in designation.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

The applicant organization and associated PI and mentor(s) identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the applicant must contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

PIs with an ORCID identifier should enter that information in the appropriate field in the “My Profile” tab in the “Account Information” section of eBRAP.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B, for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Tab 1 – Application Information**
  - Submission of application information includes assignment of primary and secondary research classification codes, which may be found at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm. Applicants are strongly encouraged to review and confirm the codes prior to making their selection.

- **Tab 2 – Application Contacts**
  - Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form). The Business Official must be either selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

  Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form), and click on “Add Organizations to this Pre-application.” The organization(s) must be either selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
It is recommended that applicants identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

- **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**

Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.

FY23 PRP Programmatic Panel members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section II.H.2.c, Withdrawal, or contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

- **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**

List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a conflict of interest in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship).

- **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**

**Letter of Intent (LOI) (one-page limit):** Provide a brief description of the research to be conducted. **Include the FY23 PRP Focus Area(s) under which the application will be submitted.** LOIs are used for program planning purposes only (e.g., reviewer recruitment) and will not be reviewed during either the peer or programmatic review sessions. An invitation to submit is not required.

  - **List of Individuals Providing Confidential Letters of Recommendation:** Enter contact information for minimum of two and a maximum of three individuals (including the Mentor) who will provide letters of recommendation. Each individual will receive an email generated from eBRAP containing specific instructions on how to upload their letter.

- **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**

This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

**II.D.2.b. Step 2: Full Application Submission Content**

_The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov._

Each application submission must include the completed full application package for this program announcement. The full application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through Grants.gov (https://grants.gov/) for extramural organizations or through eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/) for intramural organizations. See Table 1 below for more specific guidelines.
II.D.2.b.i. Full Application Guidelines

Extramural organizations must submit full applications through Grants.gov. Applicants must create a Grants.gov Workspace for submission, which allows the application components to be completed online and routed through the applicant organization for review prior to submission. Applicants may choose to download and save individual PDF forms rather than filling out webforms in Workspace. A compatible version of Adobe Reader must be used to view, complete, and submit an application package consisting of PDF forms. If more than one person is entering text into an application package, the same version of Adobe Reader software should be used by each person. Check the version number of the Adobe software on each user’s computer to make sure the versions match. Using different versions of Adobe Reader may cause submission and/or save errors – even if each version is individually compatible with Grants.gov. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, and the “Apply For Grants” page of Grants.gov (https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html) for further information about the Grants.gov Workspace submission process. Submissions of extramural applications through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.

Table 1. Full Application Submission Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DOD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Package Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Application Package Components</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information. | **Tab 1 – Summary:** Provide a summary of the application information.  
**Tab 2 – Application Contacts:** This tab will be pre-populated by eBRAP; add Authorized Organizational Representative. |
| Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:  
- Attachments  
- Research & Related Personal Data  
- Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)  
- Research & Related Budget | **Tab 3 – Full Application Files:** Upload files under each Application Component in eBRAP. Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:  
- Attachments  
- Key Personnel  
- Budget |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DOD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</td>
<td>• Performance Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research &amp; Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form</td>
<td>• Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Additional Application Component(s)</td>
<td>Tab 4 – Application and Budget Data: Review and edit proposed project start date, proposed end date, and budget data pre-populated from the Budget Form.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Application Package Submission

**Create a Grants.gov Workspace.**
Add participants (investigators and Business Officials) to Workspace, complete all required forms, and check for errors before submission.

**Submit a Grants.gov Workspace Package.**
An application may be submitted through Workspace by clicking the “Sign and Submit” button on the “Manage Workspace” page, under the “Forms” tab. Grants.gov recommends submission of the application package at least 24-48 hours prior to the close date to allow time to correct any potential technical issues that may disrupt the application submission.

*Note:* If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline. **Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.**

**Submit package components to eBRAP** ([https://ebrap.org](https://ebrap.org)).

**Tab 5 – Submit/Request Approval Full Application:** After all components are uploaded and prior to the full application submission deadline, enter your password in the space provided next to “Enter Your Password Here” and press the “Submit Full Application” button. eBRAP will notify your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official by email. **Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.**

### Application Verification Period

The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form. After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PI will receive email notification of this status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form. Your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review...
The full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

II.D.2.b.ii. Full Application Submission Components

- Extramural Applications Only

  SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.

- Extramural and Intramural Applications

  Attachments:

  Each attachment to the full application components must be uploaded as an individual file in the format specified and in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4.

For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Attachments will be rejected if the file names are longer than 50 characters or have incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, there are file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 megabytes (MB), and the file size for the entire full application package may not exceed 200 MB.

- Attachment 1: Project Narrative (seven-page limit): Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf”. The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs (uniform resource locators) that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an...
unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below. *The Project Narrative must be written by the PI while also showing evidence of appropriate direction from the Mentor(s).*

- **Principal Investigator:** The PI should describe how their achievements (as reflected by academic performance, awards, honors, and/or previous publications and funding) indicate the potential for a successful career as a PD researcher. The PI should describe how their stated career goals demonstrate a strong personal commitment to pursuing an independent career as a leader in PD research. The PI should describe how their proposed level of effort, if not 100%, is appropriate for successful completion of the proposed work.

- **Mentor(s):** Describe the background, funding, publications, and experience of the Mentor(s) in PD research. Explain how they will guide the PI throughout the period of performance in developing toward independence in PD research. Describe their track record in mentoring early-career investigators in PD research.

- **Rationale:** Clearly articulate the scientific rationale for the proposed research project. Cite relevant literature. *The presentation of preliminary and/or published data to support the proposed research project is encouraged.*

- **Hypothesis:** State concisely the new concept, theory, paradigm, and/or method that addresses an important problem in PD research and/or patient care.

- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the proposed research project’s specific aims to be funded by this application. If the proposed research project is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.

- **Research Strategy:**
  - Describe how each study is designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including controls, sample size estimation, blinding, randomization, power analysis, and data handling.
  - Describe how any animal studies proposed are designed to achieve the objectives, including the choice of model and endpoints/outcome measures to be used.
  - Clearly articulate why the proposed research project is feasible as described.
  - Identify potential problems and address alternative approaches.
  - Describe the statistical plan for the research proposed, as appropriate.
  - Describe how the study design addresses the clinical relevance of the anticipated findings (if applicable).
• For applications proposing non-exempt clinical research, describe the strategy for the inclusion of women and minorities appropriate to the objectives of the study, including a description of the composition of the proposed study population in terms of sex/gender, racial, and ethnic group, and an accompanying rationale for the selection of subjects.

○ Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation: Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf”. Start each document on a new page. If documents are scanned to PDF, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. The Supporting Documentation attachment should not include additional information such as figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, or drawings. These items should be included in the Project Narrative.

There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested or viewed as an extension of the Project Narrative will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

– References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

– List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

– Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

– Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If articles are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

– Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable) signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the program announcement, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.

– Letters of Collaboration (if applicable): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization demonstrating that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work. If an investigator at an intramural
organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator’s Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator’s involvement.

- **Inclusion Enrollment Plan:** For applications proposing non-exempt clinical research, provide an anticipated enrollment table(s) for the inclusion of women and minorities appropriate to the objectives of the study with the proposed enrollment distributed on the basis of sex/gender, race, and ethnicity. The Public Health Service (PHS) Inclusion Enrollment Report is a three-page fillable PDF form, which can be downloaded from eBRAP at [https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm](https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm).

- **Intellectual Property:** Information can be found in the 2 CFR 200.315, “Intangible Property.”

  - **Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable):** Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

  - **Commercialization Strategy (if applicable):** Describe the commercialization plan. The plan should include intellectual property, market size, financial analysis, strengths and weaknesses, barriers to the market, competitors, and management team. Discuss the significance of this development effort, when it can be anticipated, and the potential commercial use for the technology being developed.

- **Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan:** Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

- **Data Management Plan** (two-page limit): If there is a separate Data Management Plan attachment, then submission of the Data Management Plan under “Supporting Documentation” is not required. Describe the data management plan in accordance with Section 3.c. Enclosure 3, [DoD Instructions 3200.12](https://www.dod.gov/).  

  - For Extramural Applications: Refer to General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, Attachments Form, Attachment 2, Supporting Documentation, for more detailed information.

  - For Intramural Applications: Refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.1, Application Component – Attachments, Attachment 2, Supporting Documentation, for more detailed information.

- **Use of DOD Resources (if applicable):** Provide a letter of support signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority confirming access to active-duty military populations and/or DOD resources or databases.
Use of VA Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support from the VA Facility Director(s) or individual designated by the VA Facility Director(s), such as the Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development (ACOS/R&D) or Clinical Service Chief, confirming access to VA patients, resources, and/or VA research space. For VA PIs, if the VA non-profit corporation is not identified as the applicant institution for administering the funds, include a letter from the VA ACOS/R&D confirming this arrangement and identifying the institution that will administer the funds associated with the proposed research.

Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “TechAbs.pdf”. The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

The technical abstract should be structured as follows:

- **Background:** Present the ideas and rationale supporting the proposed research project.

- **Research Questions and/or Concepts:** State the research question/concept to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the research question/concept.

- **Specific Aims:** State the specific aims of the study.

- **Study Design:** Briefly describe the study design to include methodology, statistical analysis, and appropriate controls.

- **Impact:** Briefly describe how the proposed research project will have short-term and/or long-term impact on PD research and/or patient care.

Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “LayAbs.pdf”. The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Do not duplicate the technical abstract. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer advocate community. Consumer reviewers rely on information contained in the lay abstract as well as other components of the application package.

The lay abstract should be written using the outline below. Minimize use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate.

- Clearly describe, in a manner readily understood by lay persons, the rationale and objective for the proposed research project.
• Do not duplicate the technical abstract.

– Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.

• What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them?
• What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
• What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?
• What are the likely contributions of the proposed research project to advancing the field of PD research and/or patient care?

○ Attachment 5: Statement of Work (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf”. The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). Recommended strategies for assembling the SOW can be found at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm.

– For the Early Investigator Research Award mechanism, refer to the “Suggested SOW Strategy Generic Research” document for guidance on preparing the SOW and use the blank SOW format titled “Suggested SOW Format”. The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

○ Attachment 6: Researcher Development Plan (two-page limit): Upload as “ResearcherDev.pdf”.

– Describe how the individualized plan will enable the PI to acquire the necessary skills, competence, and expertise to successfully complete the proposed research project.

– Describe a pathway to independence for the PI.

– Explain how the individualized Researcher Development Plan will provide the PI with an opportunity to develop a research project, investigate a problem or question in PD research, and effectively prepare him/her for a career as an independent PD researcher.

– Describe how the Mentor/co-Mentor will support the research development plan.

– Describe how the scientific environment at the primary institution and collaborating institution(s) is appropriate for the proposed research and career development activities, including professional interaction with established PD researchers.

– Clearly articulate how the proposed research project and mentoring experience will bring the PI to the forefront of PD research.

– Do not reference or include members of the FY23 PRP Programmatic Panel.
Attachment 7: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as “Impact.pdf”. Articulate the pathway to making a clinical impact for individuals with, or at risk for, PD.

- Clearly and explicitly describe how the proposed research will contribute to making an impact for individuals with or at risk for PD.

- Describe both the short-term and long-term impacts toward the PRP’s mission of supporting Parkinson’s research.
  
  ▪ The short-term impact will be the anticipated outcome(s)/product(s) from the proposed research.
  
  ▪ The long-term impact may be beyond the scope of the proposed research.

- Describe how the proposed research addresses at least one of the FY23 PRP Focus Areas.

Attachment 8: Letter of Eligibility (one-page limit): Upload as “Eligibility.pdf”. Provide a letter signed by the PI and the Department Chair, Dean, or equivalent official to verify that the eligibility requirements have been met. The letter should verify that the PI is no more than 10 years from their advanced degree training or residency training completion (or equivalent) as of the application deadline (Refer to Section II.C, Eligibility Information).

Attachment 9: Representations, if applicable (extramural submissions only): Upload as “RequiredReps.pdf”. All extramural applicants must complete and submit the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.

Attachment 10: Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format, if applicable: Upload as “MFBudget.pdf”. If a military facility (Military Health System facility, research laboratory, medical treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DOD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete a separate budget, using “Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format”, available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm, including a budget justification, for each military facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.

Extramural and Intramural Applications

To evaluate compliance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 USC 1681[a] et seq.), the DOD is collecting certain demographic and career information to be able to assess the success rates of women who are proposed for key roles in applications in
science, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics (STEM) disciplines. To enable this
assessment, each application must include the following forms completed as indicated.

Research & Related Personal Data: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to
the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via
eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed
information.

Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions
(via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for
intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions,
Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”. The
  suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities &
  Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The
  National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical
  sketches should be submitted in uneditable PDF format.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as
  “Support_LastName.pdf”.
  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions,
  Section III.A.4, for detailed information.
  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions,
  Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as
  “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”.
  - Include Mentor’s (and co-Mentor’s, if applicable) biographical sketch.

- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as
  “Support_LastName.pdf”.
  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions,
  Section III.A.4, for detailed information.
  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions,
  Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.
  - Include Mentor’s (and co-Mentor’s, if applicable) previous/current/pending support.

Research & Related Budget: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the
General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via
eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed
information.
Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf”. The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- Extramural Applications Only

Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable): Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.

- Extramural Subaward: Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.) Verify subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

- Intramural DOD Collaborator(s): Complete the “Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format” and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 10. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.) Each Intramural DOD Collaborator should include costs per year on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.

Additional Application Components

In addition to the complete application package, Early Investigator Research Award applications also require the following components:

- Confidential Letters of Recommendations (maximum of three allowed)

The PI should monitor whether the letters have been received in eBRAP by viewing the status in the “Pre-Application Files” tab of the pre-application. The PI will not be able to view the letters. The letters of recommendation should be provided on letterhead, signed, and uploaded as PDF files to eBRAP by 5:00 p.m. ET on the last day of the verification period.

The confidential letters should include the following (two pages per letter recommended):

- One confidential letter of recommendation from the Mentor (and another from the co-Mentor, if applicable), describing their commitment to the PI’s career development and mentorship in PD research. Mentor letters should address the following:
  
  - The PI’s potential for a highly productive career in PD research;
  
  - The proposed interactions of the Mentor with the PI during the research project;
- The mentoring environment, including ongoing PD research in the Mentor’s laboratory and in the organization as a whole, resources available, and how this environment will promote the development of the PI as a PD researcher; and

- The degree to which the PI participated in the project development and application preparation, and the degree to which the PI will participate in the execution of the application if funded.

  - Additional confidential letters of recommendation (one is required; maximum of two). Specifically, each letter should offer the writer’s perspective on:
    - The PI’s qualifications, characteristics, and achievements;
    - The PI’s potential for productivity and desire for establishing a successful and independent career in PD research.
    - The relevance of the proposed research project to developing the PI’s career in PD research; and
    - The suitability of the Mentor(s) and the research environment for providing the PI with a solid foundation to support an independent career in PD research.

II.D.3. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)

The applicant organization must be registered as an entity in SAM (https://www.sam.gov/SAM/) and receive confirmation of an “Active” status before submitting an application through Grants.gov. As of April 2022, all federal awards including, but not limited to, contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements will use the UEI generated through SAM.gov. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

Applicant Verification of Full Application Submission in eBRAP

For Both Extramural and Intramural Applicants: eBRAP allows an organization’s representatives and PIs to view and modify the full application submissions associated with them. Following retrieval and processing of the full application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the full application submission. eBRAP will validate full application files against the specific program announcement requirements, and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the “Full Application Files” tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP are strongly
recommended, but not required. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the program announcement. *If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated full application package must be submitted prior to the application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.* Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. Verify that subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

**Extramural Submission:** The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package, *with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form*, may be modified.

**Intramural DOD Submission:** After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PI will receive email notification of the status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package, *with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form*, may be modified. The Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve the application package prior to the application verification deadline.

**For All Submissions:** Verify that subaward budget(s) with budget justification are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

**II.D.5. Funding Restrictions**

The maximum period of performance is 2 years.

The application’s total costs budgeted for the entire period of performance should not exceed $500,000. If indirect cost rates have been negotiated, indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization’s negotiated rate.

All direct and indirect costs of any subaward or contract must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.

The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 2 years.

For this award mechanism, direct costs may be requested for (not all inclusive):

- Travel in support multidisciplinary collaborations
• Costs for one investigator to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year to present project information or disseminate project results and/or attend workshops as designated in the Researcher Development Plan.

Must not be requested for:

• Clinical trial costs
• Equipment
• Mentor salary

For extramural awards with an intragovernmental component, direct transfer of funds from an extramural award recipient to a DOD or other federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Funding to intramural DOD and other federal agencies will be managed through a direct funds transfer. Intramural applicants are responsible for coordinating through their agency’s procedures the use of contractual or assistance funding awards or other appropriate agreements to support extramural collaborators.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For federal agencies or organizations collaborating with federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5.

II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for detailed formatting guidelines.

II.E. Application Review Information

II.E.1. Criteria

II.E.1.a. Peer Review

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

• Research Team
  ○ Principal Investigator
    – How the PI’s achievements (as reflected by academic performance, awards, honors, and/or previous publications and funding) indicate the potential for a successful career as a PD researcher.
    – To what extent the PI’s stated career goals demonstrate a strong personal commitment to pursuing an independent career as a leader in PD research.
– To what extent the letters of recommendation from the Mentor(s) and others support the PI’s potential for a highly productive career as a PD researcher.

– Whether the PI’s proposed level of effort, if not 100%, is appropriate for successful completion of the proposed work.

○ Mentor(s)

– Whether there is at least one Mentor who is an established PD researcher, as evidenced by a demonstrated record of active funding and recent publications in PD research.

– How the Mentor (and co-Mentor, if applicable) will assist the PI throughout the period of performance in developing toward independence in PD research.

– To what extent the Mentor’s track record for training young investigators indicates the potential for successful mentoring of the PI in PD research.

– Whether the Mentor letter(s) indicate a high level of commitment to the PI’s development as a PD researcher.

– Whether the quality of the application suggests that the Mentor(s) provided appropriate guidance in its preparation.

• Researcher Development Plan

○ How well the application has outlined an individualized plan that will enable the PI to acquire the necessary skills, competence, and expertise to successfully complete the proposed research project.

○ How well the application has outlined a pathway to independence for the PI.

○ How well the individualized Researcher Development Plan will provide the PI with an opportunity to develop a research project, investigate a problem or question in PD research, and effectively prepare them for a career as an independent PD researcher.

○ To what extent the Mentor(s) will support the researcher development plan.

○ To what extent the scientific environment at the primary institution and collaborating institution(s) is appropriate for the proposed research and career development activities, including professional interaction with established PD researchers.

○ To what degree the proposed research project and mentoring experience will bring the PI to the forefront of PD research.

• Research Strategy and Feasibility

○ How well the preliminary and/or published data, relevant literature, and scientific rationale support the proposed research project.
○ How well any animal studies proposed are designed to achieve the objectives, including the choice of model and endpoints/outcome measures to be used.

○ To what extent the proposed research project is feasible as described.

○ How well the application identifies potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.

○ For applications proposing non-exempt clinical research, the extent to which the strategy for the inclusion of women and minorities and distribution of proposed enrollment are appropriate for the proposed research.

• **Impact**

○ How the proposed research will contribute to making an impact for individuals with or at risk for PD.

○ To what degree the proposed research, whether in the short term or long term, would make a major impact toward the PRP’s mission of supporting Parkinson’s research.

○ How well the proposed research addresses one or more of the FY23 PRP Focus Areas.

In addition, the following **unscored criteria** will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

• **Budget**

○ Whether the **total** costs exceed the allowable total costs as published in the program announcement.

○ Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research.

• **Environment**

○ To what extent the research requirements are adequately supported by the availability and accessibility of facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements and/or intellectual property plans as applicable).

• **Application Presentation**

○ To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

II.E.1.b. **Programmatic Review**

To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:
• Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers

• Relevance to the mission of the Defense Health Program and FY23 PRP, as evidenced by the following:
  ○ Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
  ○ Program portfolio balance
  ○ Programmatic relevance to FY23 PRP Focus Areas
  ○ Relative impact

II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review, the evaluation of applications against established criteria to determine technical merit, where each application is assessed for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is programmatic review, a comparison-based process in which applications with high scientific and technical merit are further evaluated for programmatic relevance. Final recommendations for funding are made to the Commanding General, USAMRDC. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at https://cdmrp.health.mil/about/2tierRevProcess. An information paper describing the funding recommendations and review process for the award mechanisms for the PRP will be provided to the PI and posted on the CDMRP website.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement declaring that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review and approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review and approval process may also result in suspension or debarment from federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with 18 USC 1905.

II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information

Prior to making an assistance agreement award where the federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, as defined in 2 CFR 200.1, over the period of performance, the federal awarding agency is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is available in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIIS).
An applicant organization may review FAPIIS, accessible through SAM, and submit comments to FAPIIS on any information about the organization that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently available in FAPIIS.

The federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant’s integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when determining a recipient’s qualification prior to award, according to the qualification standards of the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGARs), Section 22.415.

II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity.

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

II.F. Federal Award Administration Information

II.F.1. Federal Award Notices

Awards supported with FY23 funds are anticipated to be made no later than September 30, 2024. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for additional award administration information.

After email notification of application review results through eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from the USAMRAA will contact the Business Official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI’s organization.

**Pre-Award Costs:** An institution of higher education, hospital, other non-profit or for-profit organization may, at its own risk and without the government’s prior approval, incur obligations and expenditures to cover costs up to 90 days before the beginning date of the initial budget period of a new award. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5.

*Only an appointed USAMRAA Grants Officer may obligate the government to the expenditure of funds.* No commitment on the part of the government should be inferred from discussions with any other individual. The award document signed by the Grants Officer is the official authorizing document.

**Federal Government Organizations:** Funding made to federal government organizations (to include intramural DOD organizations) will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Transfer of funds is contingent upon appropriate safety and administrative approvals. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective Resource Manager/Task Area Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official.
II.F.1.a. PI Changes and Award Transfers

Changes in PI are not allowed, except under extenuating circumstances that will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section B, for general information on organization or PI changes.

II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Applicable requirements in the DoDGARs found in 32 CFR, Chapter I, Subchapter C, and 2 CFR, Chapter XI, apply to grants and cooperative agreements resulting from this program announcement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

Refer to full text of the latest DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions and the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions: Addendum to the DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions for further information.

Certification Regarding Disclosure of Funding Sources. The proposing entity must comply with Section 223(a) of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, which requires that the PI, Partnering PIs (if applicable), and all key personnel:

- Certify that the current and pending support provided on the application is current, accurate, and complete;

- Agree to update such disclosure at the request of the agency prior to the award of support and at any subsequent time the agency determines appropriate during the term of the award; and

- Have been made aware of the requirements under Section 223(a)(1) of this Act.

False, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may result in criminal, civil, or administrative penalties (18 USC 1001).

II.F.3. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section A, for general information on reporting requirements. **If there are technical reporting requirement delinquencies for any existing USAMRAA-sponsored awards at the applicant organization, no new awards will be issued to the applicant organization until all delinquent reports have been submitted.**
Annual progress reports as well as a final progress report will be required.

The Award Terms and Conditions will specify if additional and/or more frequent reporting is required.

Award Expiration Transition Plan: An Award Expiration Transition Plan must be submitted with the final progress report. Use the one-page template “Award Expiration Transition Plan,” available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) under the “Progress Report Formats” section. The Award Expiration Transition Plan must outline whether and how the research supported by this award will progress and must include source(s) of funding, either known or pending.

PHS Inclusion Enrollment Reporting Requirement (only required for clinical research studies): Enrollment reporting on the basis of sex/gender, race, and/or ethnicity will be required with each annual and final progress report. The PHS Inclusion Enrollment Report is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP.

Awards resulting from this program announcement may entail additional reporting requirements related to recipient integrity and performance matters. Recipient organizations that have federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than $10M are required to provide information to FAPIIS about certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings that reached final disposition within the most recent 5-year period and that were connected with performance of a federal award. These recipients are required to disclose, semiannually, information about criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings as specified in the applicable Representations (see General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B).

II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

II.G.1. eBRAP Help Desk

Questions related to program announcement content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the pre-application or intramural application submission through eBRAP should be directed to the eBRAP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET (closed on most U.S. federal holidays). Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to extramural application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week
(closed on U.S. federal holidays). Note that the eBRAP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the “Synopsis” page for the program announcement or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

II.H. Other Information

II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions

Questions related to this program announcement should refer to the program name, the program announcement name, and the program announcement version code 803a. The program announcement numeric version code will match the General Application Instructions version code 803.

II.H.2. Administrative Actions

After receipt of applications, the following administrative actions may occur:

II.H.2.a. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Pre-application was not submitted.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- More than one application is received naming the same investigator as the PI. Only the first application received will be accepted; additional applications will be administratively rejected.
- Researcher Development Plan is missing (Attachment 6).
- Impact Statement is missing (Attachment 7).
- Letter of Eligibility is missing (Attachment 8).
II.H.2.b. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

II.H.2.c. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- An FY23 PRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY23 PRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at https://cdmrp.health.mil/prp/panels/panels23.
- The application fails to conform to this program announcement description.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY23, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (https://cdmrp.health.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies may be administratively withdrawn.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review or approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DOD federal agencies, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn.
- Applications submitted by an intramural DOD organization may be withdrawn if the intramural organization cannot coordinate the use of contractual, assistance, or other appropriate agreements to provide funds to extramural collaborators.
- Application includes research data that are classified and/or proposes research of which the anticipated outcomes may be classified or deemed sensitive to national security will be considered for application withdrawal.
- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.
- A clinical trial is proposed.
- The application does not address at least one of the FY23 PRP Focus Areas.
- The PI does not meet the eligibility criteria.

**II.H.2.d. Withhold**

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.
II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Components</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance <em>(extramural submissions only)</em></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary (Tab 1) and Application Contacts (Tab 2) <em>(intramural submissions only)</em></td>
<td>Complete tabs as instructed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher Development Plan: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “ResearcherDev.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name “Impact.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter of Eligibility: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “Eligibility.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representations (extramural submissions only): Upload as Attachment 9 with file name “RequiredReps.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format: Upload as Attachment 10 with file name “MFBudget.pdf” if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Components</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person</td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Budget</td>
<td>Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(extramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Suggested DOD Military Budget Format, including justification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget (intramural submissions only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Performance Site</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location(s) Form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Subaward Budget</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment(s) Form, if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Application Components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential Letters of Recommendation</td>
<td>Confirm upload to eBRAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# APPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACOS/R&amp;D</td>
<td>Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACURO</td>
<td>Animal Care and Use Review Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDMRP</td>
<td>Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoDGARs</td>
<td>Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eBRAP</td>
<td>Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Ethics Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIRA</td>
<td>Early Investigator Research Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Eastern Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAD</td>
<td>Funding Authorization Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAPIIS</td>
<td>Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IACUC</td>
<td>Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB</td>
<td>Institutional Review Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>Letter of Intent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Megabytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPR</td>
<td>Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHARO</td>
<td>Office of Human and Animal Research Oversight (previously Office of Research Protections)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHRO</td>
<td>Office of Human Research Oversight (previously Human Research Protection Office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORCID</td>
<td>Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Portable Document Format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>Public Health Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>Parkinson’s Research Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>System for Award Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOW</td>
<td>Statement of Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM</td>
<td>Science, Technology, Engineering, and/or Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Locator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEI</td>
<td>Unique Entity Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAMRAA</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAMRDC</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>