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II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

II.A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23) Pancreatic Cancer Research Program (PCARP) are being solicited by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) using delegated authority provided by United States Code, Title 10, Section 4001 (10 USC 4001). The execution management agent for this program announcement is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) at the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (USAMRDC). The PCARP was initiated in FY20 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit. Appropriations for the PCARP from FY20 through FY22 totaled $36 million (M). The FY23 appropriation is $15M.

The proposed research must be relevant to active-duty Service Members, Veterans, military beneficiaries, and/or the American public.

The goal of the PCARP is to reduce the burden of pancreatic cancer among Service Members, Veterans, their families, and the American public. The mission of the PCARP is to promote rigorous, innovative, high-impact research that leads to earlier pancreatic cancer diagnosis, new therapeutic tools, and improved outcomes.

II.A.1. FY23 PCARP Focus Areas

- Early detection research for pancreatic cancer, including the prevalence in individuals with pre-diabetes and diabetes and/or those in underserved ethnic and minority communities
- Supportive care interventions, patient-reported outcomes, quality of life, and perspectives during diagnosis, treatment, and survivorship
- Barriers to the implementation of health care, including ways to overcome socioeconomic, geographic, or ethnic and racial disparities
- Identification and characterization of pancreatic cancer risk including genetic and environmental risk factors, such as diet, obesity, and microbiome
- Understanding the relationship between metabolic disruptions in pancreatic cancer and their systemic effects, including diabetes and cachexia
- Understanding tumor development and progression, from precursors to metastasis
- Understanding the relationship between oncogenic signaling and the tumor microenvironment that drives drug resistance and therapeutic response
• Biomarkers to predict therapeutic response and guide management strategies

• New drug development targeted toward cancer sensitivity and resistance mechanisms including immune mechanisms of resistance

II.A.2. Award History

The PCARP Translational Research Partnership Award mechanism was first offered in FY20. Since then, 51 Translational Research Partnership Award applications have been received, and 9 have been recommended for funding.

II.B. Award Information

The FY23 PCARP Translational Research Partnership Award supports partnerships between clinicians and research scientists that will accelerate the movement of promising ideas in pancreatic cancer toward clinical applications. This award supports the development of translational research collaborations between two independent investigators to address a central problem or question in pancreatic cancer in a manner that would be less readily achievable through separate efforts. One partner in the collaboration must function as a research scientist and the other partner as a clinician investigator. It should be clear that both have had equal intellectual input in the design of the research project. Projects involving convergence science partnerships are strongly encouraged. At least one partner must have expertise either in pancreatic cancer research or pancreatic cancer patient care. Inclusion of experts from outside the pancreatic cancer field is encouraged. A proposed project in which the clinical partner merely supplies tissue samples or access to patients will not meet the intent of this award mechanism. Full support for large-scale clinical trials is not expected; retrospective tissue analysis, correlative studies, or small pilot clinical trials are permitted.

Significant features of the Translational Research Partnership Award:

• Partnership: The success of the project should depend on the unique skills and contributions of each partner.

• Translation: The application should provide evidence for the reciprocal transfer of information between basic and clinical science, or vice versa, in developing and implementing the research plan. Translational research may include correlative studies and/or development of or use of annotated biorepositories. The application should demonstrate how the study will leverage clinical information to address knowledge gaps in resulting outcomes, validate key research findings, expand upon potentially transformative results, and/or investigate novel findings.

• Impact: The proposed research should indicate the potential to have a significant impact on pancreatic cancer research and/or patient care and have the potential to accelerate the movement of promising ideas (in prevention, diagnosis, detection, prognosis, treatment, and/or survivorship) into clinical applications.
- **Feasibility:** The application should demonstrate that the investigators have access to the necessary specimens, data, and/or intervention, as applicable.

- **Preliminary Data:** Published and/or unpublished results from the laboratory of the Principal Investigators (PIs) or collaborators named on the application that are relevant to pancreatic cancer and the proposed research project, are required.

*Preliminary data to support the feasibility of the research hypothesis(es) and research approaches are required; however, these data do not necessarily need to be derived from studies of pancreatic cancer.*

Observations that drive a research idea may be derived from a laboratory discovery, population-based studies, or a clinician’s firsthand knowledge of patients and anecdotal data. The ultimate goal of translational research is to move a concept or observation forward into clinical application that is relevant to active-duty Service Members, Veterans, other military beneficiaries, and the American public. However, translational research should not be viewed as a one-way continuum from bench to bedside. The research plan must involve a reciprocal flow of ideas and information between basic and clinical science. There should be an intellectual synergistic partnership between the clinic and the laboratory.

The success of the project must be supported by the unique skills and contributions of each partner. The proposed study must include clearly stated plans for interactions between the PIs and the institutions involved. The plans must include communication, coordination of research progress and results, and data transfer. Additionally, multi-institutional applications must provide an intellectual property plan to resolve potential intellectual and material property issues and to remove institutional barriers that might interfere with achieving high levels of cooperation to ensure the successful completion of this award.

*The Translational Research Partnership Award requires two PIs.* One will be identified as the Initiating PI and will be responsible for the majority of the administrative tasks associated with application submission. The other will be identified as a Partnering PI. Both PIs should contribute significantly to the development of the proposed research project, including the Project Narrative, Statement of Work (SOW), and other required components. If recommended for funding, each PI will be named to an individual award within the recipient organization. For individual submission requirements for the Initiating and Partnering PIs, refer to Section II.D.2, Content and Form of the Application Submission. It is the responsibility of the PIs to describe how their combined expertise will better address the research question and explain why the work should be done together rather than through separate efforts.

A congressionally mandated Metastatic Cancer Task Force was formed with the purpose of identifying ways to help accelerate clinical and translational research aimed at extending the lives of advanced state and recurrent patients. As a member of the Metastatic Cancer Task Force, the CDMRP encourages applicants to review the recommendations and submit research ideas to address these recommendations, provided they are within the limitations of this funding opportunity and fit within the FY23 PCARP priorities. Please copy and paste the link below in your web browser to review the recommendations: [https://health.mil/Reference-Center/Congressional-Testimonies/2018/05/03/Metastatic-Cancer-Research](https://health.mil/Reference-Center/Congressional-Testimonies/2018/05/03/Metastatic-Cancer-Research).
Collaborations between researchers at military or Veteran institutions and non-military institutions are strongly encouraged. These relationships can leverage knowledge, infrastructure, and access to unique clinical populations that the partners bring to the research effort, ultimately advancing cancer research that is of significance to the Warfighter, military families, and the American public.

The types of awards made under the program announcement will be assistance agreements. An assistance agreement is appropriate when the federal government transfers a “thing of value” to a “state, local government,” or “other recipient” to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States instead of acquiring property or service for the direct benefit and use of the U.S. government. An assistance agreement can take the form of a grant or cooperative agreement. The level of involvement on the part of the Department of Defense (DOD) during project performance is the key factor in determining whether to award a grant or cooperative agreement. If “no substantial involvement” on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a grant award will be made (31 USC 6304). Conversely, if substantial involvement on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a cooperative agreement will be made (31 USC 6305), and the award will identify the specific substantial involvement. Substantial involvement may include, but is not limited to, collaboration, participation, or intervention in the research to be performed under the award. The award type, along with the start date, will be determined during the negotiation process.

The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for an FY23 PCARP Translational Research Partnership Award should not exceed $750,000. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed funding information.

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2024. For additional information, refer to Section II.F.1, Federal Award Notices.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $4.80M to fund approximately four Translational Research Partnership Award applications. Funding of applications received is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program as well as the number of applications received, the quality and merit of the applications as evaluated by scientific and programmatic review, and the requirements of the government. Funds to be obligated on any award resulting from this funding opportunity will be available for use for a limited time period based on the fiscal year of the funds. It is anticipated that awards made from this FY23 funding opportunity will be funded with FY23 funds, which will expire for use on September 30, 2029.

Research Involving Human Data, Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All DOD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human data, human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRDC Office of Human and Animal Research Oversight (OHARO), Office of Human Research Oversight (OHRO), prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of application submission is not required; however, local IRB/EC approval is necessary prior to OHRO review. Allow up to 3 months to complete the OHRO regulatory review and approval process following submission.
of all required and complete documents to the OHRO. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, and the OHARO web page https://mrdc.health.mil/index.cfm/collaborate/research_protections/hrpo for additional information.

As of January 20, 2020, U.S. institutions engaged in non-exempt cooperative research must rely on a single IRB to review and approve the portion of the research conducted at domestic sites in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations, Title 45, Part 46.114(b) (45 CFR 46.114(b)). If the proposed, non-exempt research involves more than one U.S.-based institution, a written plan for single IRB review arrangements must be provided at the time of application submission or award negotiation. The lead institution responsible for developing the master protocol and master consent form should be identified and should be the single point of contact for regulatory submissions and requirements.

A clinical trial is defined as a research study in which one or more human subjects are prospectively assigned to one or more interventions (which may include a placebo or another control) to evaluate the effects of the interventions on biomedical or behavioral health-related outcomes.

Studies that do not seek to measure safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy outcome(s) of an intervention are not considered clinical trials.

Clinical research encompasses research with patient samples, data, and interaction with patients that may or may not be considered a clinical trial. For the purposes of this funding opportunity, research that meets the definition of a clinical trial is distinct from clinical research. Clinical research is observational in nature and includes: (1) Research that does not seek to evaluate the effects of interventions. Research conducted with human subjects (or on material of human origin such as data, tissues, specimens, and cognitive phenomena) for which an investigator (or colleague) directly interacts with human subjects, but does not seek to assess the effects of an intervention, qualifies as clinical research. Patient-oriented research may include but is not limited to: (a) mechanisms of human disease, (b) diagnostic or detection studies (e.g., biomarker or imaging), (c) health disparity studies, and (d) development of new technologies.

(2) Epidemiologic and behavioral studies that do not seek to study the safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy outcomes of an intervention. (3) Outcomes research and health services research that do not fit under the definition of clinical trial. Excluded from the definition of clinical research are in vitro studies that utilize human tissues that cannot be linked to a living individual. Note: Studies that meet the requirements for exemption under §46.104(d)(4) of the Common Rule are not considered clinical research as defined by CDMRP. Exemption category 4 refers to secondary research for which consent is not required.

Use of DOD or Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Resources: If the proposed research involves access to active-duty military and/or VA patient populations and/or DOD or VA resources or databases, the application must describe the access at the time of submission and include a plan for maintaining access as needed throughout the proposed research. Refer to Section II.D.2.b.ii, Full Application Submission Components, for detailed information. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information.
Rigor of Experimental Design: All projects should adhere to a core set of standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of preclinical research. The standards are described in Landis SC, et al., 2012, A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research, *Nature* 490:187-191 (www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7419/full/nature11556.html). While these standards are written for preclinical studies, the basic principles of randomization, blinding, sample-size estimation, and data handling derive from well-established best practices in clinical studies. Projects that include research on animal models are required to submit Attachment 8, Animal Research Plan, as part of the application package to describe how these standards will be addressed. Applicants should consult the ARRIVE guidelines 2.0 (Animal Research: Reporting *In Vivo* Experiments) to ensure relevant aspects of rigorous animal research are adequately planned for and, ultimately, reported. The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0 can be found at https://arriveguidelines.org/arrive-guidelines.

Research Involving Animals: All research funded by the FY23 PCARP Translational Research Partnership Award involving new and ongoing research with animals must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRDC OHARO Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO), in addition to the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of record. IACUC approval at the time of submission is not required. *Allow at least 3 to 4 months for ACURO regulatory review and approval processes for animal studies.* Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information.

II.C. Eligibility Information

II.C.1. Eligible Applicants

II.C.1.a. Organization: All organizations, including foreign organizations, foreign public entities, and international organizations, are eligible to apply.

Government Agencies Within the United States: Local, state, and federal government agencies are eligible to the extent that applications do not overlap with their fully funded internal programs. Such agencies are required to explain how their applications do not overlap with their internal programs.

As applications for this program announcement may be submitted by extramural and intramural organizations, these terms are defined below.

Extramural Organization: An eligible non-DOD organization. Examples of extramural organizations include academic institutions, biotechnology companies, foundations, federal government organizations other than the DOD, and research institutes.

Intramural DOD Organization: A DOD laboratory, DOD military treatment facility, and/or DOD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. *Intramural Submission: An application submitted by a DOD organization for an intramural investigator working within a DOD laboratory or military treatment facility or in a DOD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.*
The USAMRAA makes awards to eligible organizations, not to individuals.

II.C.1.b. Principal Investigator

- PIs must be at or above the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent.
- One partner in the collaboration must function as a research scientist and the other as a clinician investigator.
- Clinician investigators must be an M.D., M.D./Ph.D., or equivalent with clinical duties.
- Postdoctoral and clinical fellows are not eligible.
- At least one member of the partnership must have expertise either in pancreatic cancer research or pancreatic cancer patient care.

An eligible PI, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or citizenship status, must be employed by or affiliated with an eligible organization.

The CDMRP strongly encourages all PIs to participate in a digital identifier initiative through Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc. (ORCID). Registration for a unique ORCID identifier can be done online at https://orcid.org/.

II.C.2. Cost Sharing

Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.

II.C.3. Other

Organizations must be able to access .gov and .mil websites in order to fulfill the financial and technical deliverable requirements of the award and submit invoices for payment.

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3.

Refer to Section II.H.2, Administrative Actions, for a list of administrative actions that may be taken if a pre-application or application does not meet the administrative, eligibility, or ethical requirements defined in this program announcement.

II.D. Application and Submission Information

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Inclusion of classified research data within the application and/or proposing research of which the anticipated outcomes may be classified or deemed sensitive to national security concerns
may result in application withdrawal. Refer to the General Application Instructions Appendix 2, Section E.

II.D.1. eBRAP and Grants.gov

The electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) ([https://ebrap.org](https://ebrap.org)) is a secure web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications, view and verify extramural full applications submitted to Grants.gov ([https://grants.gov](https://grants.gov)), receive communications from the CDMRP, and submit documentation during award negotiations and throughout the period of performance. eBRAP also allows intramural organizations to submit full applications following pre-application submission.

[Grants.gov](https://grants.gov) is a federal system required to be utilized by agencies to receive and process extramural grant applications. Full applications may only be submitted to Grants.gov after submission of a pre-application through eBRAP.

Contact information for the eBRAP Help Desk and the Grants.gov Contact Center can be found in [Section II.G, Federal Awarding Agency Contacts](#).

**Extramural Submission:**

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at Grants.gov.

**Intramural DOD Submission:**

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.

**Note:** Applications from an intramural DOD organization or from an extramural federal government organization may be submitted to Grants.gov through a research foundation.

II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission

Submission is a two-step process requiring both pre-application (eBRAP.org) and full application (eBRAP.org or Grants.gov) as indicated below. The submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Full application submission guidelines differ for extramural (Grants.gov) and intramural (eBRAP.org) organizations (refer to [Table 1, Full Application Guidelines](#)).

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent throughout the entire pre-application and full application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit or negate the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the eBRAP Help Desk at [help@eBRAP.org](mailto:help@eBRAP.org) or 301-682-5507 prior to the application submission deadline.
The Initiating PI must complete the pre-application submission process and submit the contact information for the Partnering PI. The Partnering PI will then be notified of the pre-application submission separately by email. **The Partnering PI must follow the link in the notification email to associate the partnering pre-application with their eBRAP account. After associating the pre-application to their eBRAP account, the Partnering PI should email the eBRAP Help Desk (help@ebrap.org) to have the desired contact information associated to their pre-application. The email should include the pre-application log number, the name of the Business Official, the name(s) of the Performing/Contracting Organization(s), and the submission-type for the pre-application (extramural or intramural).** If not previously registered, the Partnering PI must register in eBRAP. A new pre-application based on this research project should not be initiated by the Partnering PI. Applicants are urged to complete these steps as soon as possible. If they are not completed, the Partnering PI[s] will not be able to view and modify their application during the verification period in eBRAP. If these steps are not completed, an intramural partner will not be able to submit the Partnering PI’s required full application package components to eBRAP.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the Initiating PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

The applicant organization and associated PIs identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the applicant must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

PIs with an ORCID identifier should enter that information in the appropriate field in the “My Profile” tab in the “Account Information” section of eBRAP.

**When starting the pre-application, PIs should ensure that they have selected the appropriate application category in eBRAP:**

- TRPA – Translational Research Partnership Award
- TRPA-PCT – Translational Research Partnership Award with Pilot Clinical Trial Option

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B, for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Tab 1 – Application Information**

  Submission of application information includes assignment of primary and secondary research classification codes, which may be found at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm. Applicants are strongly encouraged to review and confirm the codes prior to making their selection.
• **Tab 2 – Application Contacts**

Enter contact information for the Initiating PI. Enter the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form). The Business Official must be either selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form), and click on “Add Organizations to this Pre-application.” The organization(s) must be either selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

It is recommended that applicants identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

• **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**

Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.

FY23 PCARP Programmatic Panel members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to [Section II.H.2.c, Withdrawal](#), or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The Initiating PI must enter the contact information for the Partnering PI in the Partnering PI section.

• **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**

List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a conflict of interest in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship).

• **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**

*Note: Upload documents as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.*

- **Preproposal Narrative (two-page limit):** The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.
The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

- **Research Idea:** State the hypothesis(es) to be tested or the objective(s) to be reached. State the FY23 PCARP Focus Area(s) that will be addressed. Detail the scientific rationale on which the proposed project is based and briefly describe relevant preliminary data. Concisely state the specific aims and provide a brief overview of the study design. If the proposed research includes a clinical trial, briefly state the clinical intervention, subject population(s), and phase of the clinical trial.

- **Partnership:** Describe how the collaborative efforts of the PIs will result in a level of productivity that will be greater than that achievable by each PI working independently. Describe how the combined efforts are centered on a unified objective and how the PIs will work together to achieve that objective from different perspectives. Briefly describe the PIs’ histories of collaborative study with each other or with other investigators, including the PIs’ abilities to function synergistically in a project among equals.

- **Impact:** Describe the potential impact of this study on the outcomes of individuals with pancreatic cancer, their families/caregivers, and/or the understanding of pancreatic cancer.

○ **Pre-Application Supporting Documentation:** The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application must be uploaded as individual files and are limited to the following:

  - **References Cited (one-page limit):** List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, reference title, and reference source, including volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

  - **List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols:** Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.

  - **Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit per individual):** All biographical sketches should be uploaded as a single combined file. Biographical sketches should be used to demonstrate background and expertise through education, positions, publications, and previous work accomplished.

- **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**

  This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.
Pre-Application Screening

- Pre-Application Screening Criteria

To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the Defense Health Program (DHP) and FY23 PCARP, pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:

- **Research Idea:** Whether the proposed research addresses one or more of the FY23 PCARP Focus Areas. The degree to which the study design for accomplishing the specific aims is feasible, will accomplish the objectives, and is based on sound rationale and preliminary data.

- **Partnership:** How well the proposed study represents a synergistic collaboration that will produce results greater than those of the PIs working independently. To what degree the combined efforts are centered on a unified objective and demonstrate that the PIs are working together to achieve that objective from different perspectives.

- **Impact:** What potential impact this study will have on the outcomes of individuals with pancreatic cancer, their families/caregivers, and/or the understanding of pancreatic cancer.

- Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results

Following the pre-application screening, Initiating PIs will be notified as to whether they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity. Invitations to submit a full application are based on the Pre-Application Screening Criteria listed above.

II.D.2.a. Step 1: Pre-Application Submission Content

*During the pre-application process, eBRAP assigns each submission a unique log number. This unique eBRAP log number is required during the full application submission process.*

To begin the pre-application process, first select whether the submitting organization is extramural or intramural, then confirm your selection or cancel. **Incorrect selection of extramural or intramural submission type will delay processing.**

If an error has been made in the selection of extramural versus intramural and the pre-application submission deadline has passed, the PI or Business Official must contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 to request a change in designation.

II.D.2.b. Step 2: Full Application Submission Content

Applications will not be accepted unless notification of invitation has been received by the Initiating PI.
The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed full application package for this program announcement. The full application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through Grants.gov (https://grants.gov/) for extramural organizations or through eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/) for intramural organizations. See Table 1 below for more specific guidelines.

II.D.2.b.i. Full Application Guidelines

Extramural organizations must submit full applications through Grants.gov. Applicants must create a Grants.gov Workspace for submission, which allows the application components to be completed online and routed through the applicant organization for review prior to submission. Applicants may choose to download and save individual PDF forms rather than filling out webforms in Workspace. A compatible version of Adobe Reader must be used to view, complete, and submit an application package consisting of PDF forms. If more than one person is entering text into an application package, the same version of Adobe Reader software should be used by each person. Check the version number of the Adobe software on each user’s computer to make sure the versions match. Using different versions of Adobe Reader may cause submission and/or save errors – even if each version is individually compatible with Grants.gov. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, and the “Apply For Grants” page of Grants.gov (https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html) for further information about the Grants.gov Workspace submission process. Submissions of extramural applications through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.

Table 1. Full Application Submission Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DOD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Package Location</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application Package Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Download application package components for HT9425-23-PCARP-TRPA from Grants.gov (<a href="https://grants.gov">https://grants.gov</a>) and create a Grants.gov Workspace. Workspace allows online completion of the application components and routing of the application package through the applicant organization for review prior to submission.</td>
<td>Download application package components for HT9425-23-PCARP-TRPA from eBRAP (<a href="https://ebrap.org">https://ebrap.org</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Application Package Components</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance Form:</strong> Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.</td>
<td><strong>Tab 1 – Summary:</strong> Provide a summary of the application information. <strong>Tab 2 – Application Contacts:</strong> This tab will be pre-populated by eBRAP; add Authorized Organizational Representative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extramural Submissions

Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:

- Attachments
- Research & Related Personal Data
- Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)
- Research & Related Budget
- Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form
- Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form
- Additional Application Components

Intramural DOD Submissions

Tab 3 – Full Application Files: Upload files under each Application Component in eBRAP. Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:

- Attachments
- Key Personnel
- Budget
- Performance Sites
- Other

Tab 4 – Application and Budget Data:
Review and edit proposed project start date, proposed end date, and budget data pre-populated from the Budget Form.

Application Package Submission

Create a Grants.gov Workspace.
Add participants (investigators and Business Officials) to Workspace, complete all required forms, and check for errors before submission.

Submit a Grants.gov Workspace Package.
An application may be submitted through Workspace by clicking the “Sign and Submit” button on the “Manage Workspace” page, under the “Forms” tab. Grants.gov recommends submission of the application package at least 24-48 hours prior to the close date to allow time to correct any potential technical issues that may disrupt the application submission.

Note: If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline. Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.

Submit package components to eBRAP (https://ebrap.org).

Tab 5 – Submit/Request Approval Full Application: After all components are uploaded and prior to the full application submission deadline, enter your password in the space provided next to “Enter Your Password Here” and press the “Submit Full Application” button. eBRAP will notify your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official by email. Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.

Application Verification Period

The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application

After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PI(s) will receive email.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DOD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>verification period, the full application package may be modified with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research &amp; Related Budget Form.</td>
<td>notification of this status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research &amp; Related Budget Form. Your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve prior to the application verification deadline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Further Information**

**Tracking a Grants.gov Workspace Package.**

After successfully submitting a Workspace package, a Grants.gov Tracking Number is automatically assigned to the package. The number will be listed on the “Confirmation” page that is generated after submission.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV, for further information regarding eBRAP requirements.

The CDMRP requires separate full application package submissions for the Initiating PI and each Partnering PI, even if the PIs are located within the same organization. Initiating and Partnering PIs will each be assigned a unique eBRAP log number. Each full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number. **Note: All associated applications (the Initiating PI’s and each Partnering PI’s) must be submitted by the full application submission deadline.**

The full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

**II.D.2.b.ii. Full Application Submission Components**

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  **SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.
• Extramural and Intramural Applications

Attachments:

*Each attachment to the full application components must be uploaded as an individual file in the format specified and in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4.*

For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Attachments will be rejected if the file names are longer than 50 characters or have incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, there are file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 megabytes (MB), and the file size for the entire full application package may not exceed 200 MB.

○ **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (15-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf”. The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs (uniform resource locators) that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below.

### Outline for projects without a clinical trial:

- **Background:** Present the ideas and scientific rationale behind the proposed research; include relevant literature citations, preliminary data, and/or preclinical data that led to the development of the proposed study. Any preliminary data provided should be from the laboratory of the PIs or member(s) of the collaborating team.

- **Hypothesis(es)/Objective(s):** State the hypothesis(es)/study question(s) and/or overall objective(s) to be reached.

- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims. If this application is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility:** Describe the experimental design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for scientific evaluation. Include specific examples of synergistic elements incorporated into the research design. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. If animal studies are proposed, describe how they will be conducted in accordance with the ARRIVE 2.0 (Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments) guidelines ([https://arriveguidelines.org/arrive-guidelines](https://arriveguidelines.org/arrive-guidelines)). Additionally, describe how the proposed animal studies are designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including the choice of model and the
endpoints/outcomes to be measured. If human subjects or human biological samples will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples. Where relevant, describe the availability of and access to tissue, data, or human subjects.

- If applicable, describe the strategy for the inclusion of women and minorities in the clinical research appropriate to the objectives of the study, including a description of the composition of the proposed study population in terms of sex/gender, race, and/or ethnicity, and an accompanying rationale for the selection of subjects. It is not expected that every study will include all genders and racial and ethnic groups. Studies utilizing human biospecimens or datasets that cannot be linked to a specific individual, gender, ethnicity, or race are exempt from this requirement.

- **Research Team:** Describe how the combined backgrounds and pancreatic cancer-related experience of the research team will enable successful conduct of the project.

- **Project Coordination and Communication:** Describe plans for communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among both PIs and institutions participating in the project.

- **Data Collection and Statistical Analysis Plan:** Describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. Detail a statistical plan for the resulting outcomes. If applicable, include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study. If a subpopulation of a recruited sample population will be used for analysis, complete a statistical analysis to ensure appropriate power can be achieved within the subpopulation study. If applicable, describe how data will be appropriately reported and documented to support a regulatory filing with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

| Outline for projects with a pilot clinical trial: |

**Note:** The Project Narrative is NOT the formal clinical trial protocol. Instead, all elements of the proposed pilot clinical trial necessary for peer review must be described as indicated below.

- **Background:** Present the scientific rationale behind the proposed research; include relevant literature citations, preliminary data, and/or preclinical data that led to the development of the proposed clinical trial. Any preliminary data provided should be from the laboratory of the PIs or members of the collaborating team.
  
  - Clearly support the choice of study variables and explain the basis for the study question(s) and/or study hypothesis(es). Establish the relevance of the study and explain the applicability of the proposed findings.
- If the proposed clinical trial was initiated using other funding prior to this application, explain the history and background of the clinical trial and declare the source of prior funding. Specifically, identify the portions of the study that would be supported with funds from this award.

- **Hypothesis(es)/Objective(s):** State the hypothesis(es)/study question(s) and overall objective(s) to be reached.

- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims. If this application is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility (include only if laboratory research studies are proposed as a component of the application):** Describe the laboratory research studies that will be performed under this award and how they are clearly linked to the clinical trial. Describe the experimental design and methodology, including reagents, assay validation, statistical analysis, potential pitfalls, and alternative approaches. Where relevant, describe the availability of and access to the requisite data and/or critical reagents (e.g., therapeutic molecules, human samples) necessary for the proposed research. Provide a well-developed, well-integrated research strategy that supports the feasibility of the approach. If the methodology is new or unusual, provide sufficient details for evaluation.

- **Clinical Trial:** Provide detailed plans for initiating, conducting, and completing the clinical trial during the period of performance. As appropriate, briefly outline a plan for obtaining an Investigational New Drug (IND) approval or Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) status (or other FDA approvals). Describe the type of clinical trial to be performed (e.g., prospective, randomized, controlled) and outline the proposed methodology in sufficient detail to show a clear course of action. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches.

  - Describe the intervention to be studied, how it will be applied, and the projected outcomes of the study.

  - Define the study variables, describe how they will be measured, and include a description of appropriate controls and the endpoints to be tested.

  - Describe the availability of and access to critical reagents (e.g., therapeutic molecules) necessary for the clinical trial.

  - Describe the methods that will be used to recruit a sample of human subjects from the accessible population (e.g., convenience, simple random, stratified random). Provide information on the availability of and access to sufficient number of subjects for the clinical trial.

  - Describe the human subject-to-group assignment process (randomization, block randomization, stratified randomization, age-matched controls, alternating group, or other procedures), if applicable. Explain the specific actions to accomplish the group assignment (e.g., computer assignment, use of table of random numbers).
- Describe the strategy for the inclusion of women and minorities in the clinical research appropriate to the objectives of the study, including a description of the composition of the proposed study population in terms of sex/gender, race, and/or ethnicity, and an accompanying rationale for the selection of subjects. It is not expected that every study will include all genders and racial and ethnic groups. Studies utilizing human biospecimens or datasets that cannot be linked to a specific individual, gender, ethnicity, or race are exempt from this requirement. The Policy on Inclusion of Women and Minorities, and Frequently Asked Questions for the policy may be downloaded from eBRAP under “Resources and Reference Material” at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm.

- **Data Collection and Statistical Analysis Plan:** Describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. Detail a statistical analysis plan for the resulting outcomes. If applicable, include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study and lead to meaningful results. If a subpopulation of a recruited sample population will be used for analysis, complete a statistical analysis to ensure appropriate power can be achieved within the subpopulation study. Specify the approximate number of human subjects/samples that will be accrued. If multiple study sites are involved, state the approximate number to be enrolled at each site. Describe how data will be reported and how it will be assured that the documentation will support a regulatory filing with the FDA, if applicable.

- **Clinical Team:** Describe the composition of the clinical trial team. Provide details on how the team (including investigator(s), study coordinator, statistician) possesses the appropriate experience in conducting clinical trials.

- **Project Coordination and Communication:** Describe plans for communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among both PIs and institutions participating in the project.

- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation:** Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf”. Start each document on a new page. If documents are scanned to PDF, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. The Supporting Documentation attachment should not include additional information such as figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, or drawings. These items should be included in the Project Narrative.

    *There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested or viewed as an extension of the Project Narrative will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.*

- **References Cited:** List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter,
List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If articles are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable) signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the program announcement, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.

Letters of Collaboration: Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization demonstrating that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work. If an investigator at an intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator’s Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator’s involvement.

Intellectual Property: Information can be found in the 2 CFR 200.315, “Intangible Property.”

- Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

- Commercialization Strategy (if applicable): Describe the commercialization plan. The plan should include intellectual property, market size, financial analysis, strengths and weaknesses, barriers to the market, competitors, and management team. Discuss the significance of this development effort, when it can be anticipated, and the potential commercial use for the technology being developed.
Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

Data Management Plan (two-page limit): Describe the data management plan in accordance with Section 3.c, Enclosure 3, DoD Instruction 3200.12.

- For Extramural Applications: Refer to General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, Attachments Form, Attachment 2, Supporting Documentation, for more detailed information.
- For Intramural Applications: Refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.1, Application Component – Attachments, Attachment 2, Supporting Documentation, for more detailed information.

Use of DOD Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority confirming access to active-duty military populations and/or DOD resources or databases.

Use of VA Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support from the VA Facility Director(s) or individual designated by the VA Facility Director(s), such as the Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development (ACOS/R&D) or Clinical Service Chief, confirming access to VA patients, resources, and/or VA research space. For VA PIs, if the VA non-profit corporation is not identified as the applicant institution for administering the funds, include a letter from the VA ACOS/R&D confirming this arrangement and identifying the institution that will administer the funds associated with the proposed research.

Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “TechAbs.pdf”. The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Programmatic reviewers typically do not have access to the full application and rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project’s key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important.

The technical abstract should address the following elements:

a. Background: Present the scientific rationale behind the proposed work. Identify the FY23 PCARP Focus Area(s) to be addressed in the proposed research.

b. Hypothesis(es)/Objective(s): State the hypothesis(es) to be tested/objective(s) to be reached. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the hypothesis(es)/objective(s).
c. **Specific Aims:** State the specific aims of the study.

d. **Study Design:** Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.

e. **Impact:** Summarize how the proposed project is relevant to and will have an impact on the outcomes of individuals with pancreatic cancer, their families/caregivers, and/or the understanding of pancreatic cancer.

- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as “LayAbs.pdf”. The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. **Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Do not duplicate the technical abstract.** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

  **Do not duplicate the technical abstract.** Minimize the use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer community. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.

  a. Describe the scientific objective and rationale for the proposed project in a manner that will be readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.

  b. State the **FY23 PCARP Focus Area(s)** the project addresses.

  c. Explain the impact that the proposed research project’s results might have on the field of pancreatic cancer research and/or patient care, including the goal of diminishing the burden of pancreatic cancer.

  d. Describe the impact, in the short term or long term, on individuals with pancreatic cancer, their families/caregivers, and/or the understanding of pancreatic cancer.

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (3-page limit):** Upload as “SOW.pdf”. The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). Recommended strategies for assembling the SOW can be found at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm.

  - For the Translational Research Partnership Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled, “SOW Generic Format.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

  - **Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW.** The contributions of the Initiating PI and Partnering PI should be noted for each task.

- **Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Impact.pdf”. Explain in detail why the proposed research project is important, as follows:
- Explain how the project addresses one or more of the FY23 PCARP Focus Areas as it relates to the PCARP’s goal of diminishing the burden of pancreatic cancer among Service Members, Veterans, their families, and the American public.

- **Describe the short-term impact:** Detail the anticipated outcome(s)/product(s) that will be directly attributed to the results of the proposed research.

- **Describe the long-term impact:** Explain the anticipated long-term gains from the proposed research, including the long-term anticipated advantages that the new understanding may ultimately contribute to the goal of clinical applications in patient care and the elimination of pancreatic cancer.

  - **Attachment 7:** Partnership Statement (one-page limit): Upload as “Partnership.pdf”. Discuss in detail how the proposed project is centered on a unified theme that addresses a central problem or question rather than an additive set of unrelated subprojects and the advantages of addressing the research problem through the combined expertise and synergistic efforts of the PIs. Describe how the proposed partnership involves a substantial contribution by each partner and the reciprocal flow of ideas and information (e.g., ongoing communication, decision making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and data sharing among all participating PIs and institutions). Describe how the combined efforts of the PIs will result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.

  - **Attachment 8:** Animal Research Plan (required if application includes research on animal models; five-page limit): Upload as “AnimalPlan.pdf.”

    If the proposed study involves animals, the applicant is required to submit a summary describing the animal research that will be conducted. Applicants should not submit a verbatim replica of the protocol(s) to be submitted to the IACUC as the Animal Research Plan. The Animal Research Plan should address the following points for each proposed animal study:

    - Briefly describe the research objective(s) of the animal study. Explain how and why the animal species, strain, sex, and model(s) being used can address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the study’s relevance to human biology.

    - Summarize the procedures to be conducted. Describe how the study will be controlled.

    - Describe the randomization and blinding procedures for the study, and any other measures to be taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during animal treatment and assessment of results. If randomization and/or blinding will not be utilized, provide justification.

    - Provide a sample size estimate for each study arm and the method by which it was derived, including power analysis calculations.
Describe how data will be handled, including rules for stopping data collection, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of data, how outliers will be defined and handled, statistical methods for data analysis, and identification of the primary endpoint(s).

Describe how the animal studies will be conducted in accordance with the ARRIVE 2.0 guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org/arrive-guidelines).

Attachment 9: Regulatory Strategy (applicable only if proposing a clinical trial; no page limit): If submitting multiple documents, start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Regulatory.pdf”.

Describe the regulatory strategy using the following outline and provide supporting documentation as applicable.

- State the product/intervention name.

For products/interventions that do not require regulation by the FDA or an international regulatory agency:

- For investigator-sponsored regulatory exemptions (e.g., IND, IDE) provide evidence of institutional support. Provide evidence that the clinical trial does not require regulation by the FDA. If the clinical trial will be conducted at international sites, provide equivalent information relevant to the host country(ies) regulatory requirements. No further information for this attachment is required.

For products/interventions that require regulation by the FDA or an international regulatory agency:

- State whether the product is FDA-approved, -licensed, or -cleared and marketed in the U.S.

- If the product/intervention has already received FDA approval:
  - Provide a copy of the acceptance letter from the FDA.
  - If the product is marketed in the U.S., state the product label indication. State whether the proposed research involves a change to the approved label indication for the route of administration, dosage level, and/or subject population. Indicate whether the proposed research involves a change that increases the risks associated with using the product. State whether the product is being promoted for an off-label use (where promotion involves the sale of a marketed product).

- If the product/intervention has not already received FDA approval:
  - State the planned indication/use. Indicate whether the product would be classified as a drug, device, biologic, or combination product. Indicate whether the FDA has confirmed the proposed classification.
- Identify the regulatory sponsor. Include a signed sponsor commitment letter acknowledging the regulatory sponsor’s understanding of all sponsor responsibilities and commitment to oversee execution of the study.

- Describe the overall regulatory strategy and product development plan that will support the planned product indication. Include a description of the numbers and types of studies proposed to reach approval, licensure, or clearance, and the types of FDA meetings that will be held/planned. Include considerations for compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP), Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines.

- If an IND or IDE application is required to initiate the proposed research project, it must be submitted to the FDA prior to the FY23 PCARP Translational Research Partnership Award application submission deadline. The IND or IDE application should be specific for the investigational product (i.e., not a derivative or alternate version of the product) and indication to be tested in the proposed clinical trial. Provide the date of submission, application number, and sponsor for any existing FDA applications in place. If there are any existing cross-references in place, provide the application number and associated sponsor. Provide an explanation of the status of the application (e.g., past the critical 30-day period, pending response to questions raised by the FDA, or on clinical hold). Provide a summary of previous meetings with the FDA (or other applicable national regulatory agency) on development of this product, if appropriate. A copy of the agency meeting minutes should be included if available. Provide copies of communications from the FDA relevant to the most recent status of the IND or IDE application. The government reserves the right to withhold or withdraw funding if an IND or IDE application is necessary but has not been submitted to the FDA or other applicable national regulatory agency by the application submission deadline, or if documented status of the IND or IDE has not been obtained within 9 months of the award date.

- If the clinical trial will be conducted at international sites, provide equivalent information and supporting documentation relevant to the product indication/label and regulatory approval and/or filings in the host country(ies).

- If a drug is to be used in the proposed clinical trial, describe the current status for manufacturing development (e.g., manufacturer’s name, GMP-compliant lots available, status of stability testing), non-clinical development (e.g., test facility name, status of pivotal GLP toxicology studies to support phase 1 testing), and clinical development (e.g., clinical site name, safety profile, status of any completed or ongoing clinical trials).

- If a device is to be used in the proposed clinical trial, indicate who holds the intellectual property rights to the intervention, if applicable, and how the PIs have obtained access to those rights for the conduct of the clinical trial.

- Attachment 10: Representations, if applicable (extramural submissions only): Upload as “RequiredReps.pdf”. All extramural applicants must complete and submit
the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.

- Attachment 11: Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format, if applicable: Upload as “MFBudget.pdf”. If a military facility (Military Health System facility, research laboratory, medical treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DOD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete a separate budget, using “Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format”, available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm), including a budget justification, for each military facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.

- Extramural and Intramural Applications

To evaluate compliance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 USC 1681[a] et seq.), the DOD is collecting certain demographic and career information to be able to assess the success rates of women who are proposed for key roles in applications in science, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics (STEM) disciplines. To enable this assessment, each application must include the following forms completed as indicated.

Research & Related Personal Data: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”. The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in uneditable PDF format.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.
  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.
  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.
○ Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”.

○ Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.
  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.
  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

**Research & Related Budget:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

**Budget Justification (no page limit):** Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf”. The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

*Initiating and Partnering PIs must have a separate budget and justification specific to their distinct portions of the effort that the applicant organization will submit as separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Initiating PI should not include budget information for Partnering PI(s) even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed information.*

**Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  **Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.

  ○ **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.) Verify subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

  ○ **Intramural DOD Collaborator(s):** Complete the “Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format” and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 11. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.) Each Intramural DOD Collaborator should include costs per year on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.
**Suggested DOD Military Budget Format:** A military facility collaborating in the performance of the project (but not participating as a Partnering PI) should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. **Note:** Applicants should complete a separate military budget using “Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format” (available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page [https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm]) (Attachment 11) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.

### Application Components for Each Partnering PI

Each Partnering PI must follow the link in the email from eBRAP and, if not registered in eBRAP, must complete the registration process prior to the application submission deadline in order to associate their full application package with that of the Initiating PI.

For each Partnering PI, the Initiating PI must identify if each Partnering PI will be named on an extramural or intramural application (in accordance with the guidelines in Section II.C.1.a, Organization) and the appropriate mode of submission (Grants.gov for extramural and eBRAP for intramural). Each Partnering PI must verify their contact information and mode of submission within eBRAP to ensure proper submission of their application.

The application submission process for each Partnering PI uses an abbreviated full application package that includes:

- **Extramural and Intramural Applications**

  **Attachments:**
  
  - Attachment 5: Statement of Work (three-page limit): **Upload as “SOW.pdf”**. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, for detailed information on completing the SOW. Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI should be noted for each task.
  
  - Attachment 10: Representations (extramural submissions only): **Upload as “RequiredReps.pdf”**. All extramural applicants must complete and submit the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.
  
  - Attachment 11: Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format: **Upload as “MFBudget.pdf”**. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.

  **Research & Related Personal Data:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov) refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via
eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

**Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- **PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit):** Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”. The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The NIH Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the PDF format that is not editable.

- **PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit):** Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.
  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.
  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- **Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each):** Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”.

- **Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit):** Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.
  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.
  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

**Research & Related Budget:** For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

**Budget Justification (no page limit):** Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf”.

*Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to their own portion of the efforts as part of their separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Research & Related Budget for the Partnering PI should not include budget information for the Initiating PI, even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed information.*
**Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form**: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Applications Only**

**Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form:**

- **Extramural Subaward**: Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.)

- **Intramural DOD Collaborator(s)**: Complete a separate DOD military budget, using Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format (available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page [https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm]), and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 11. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.)

**II.D.3. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)**

The applicant organization must be registered as an entity in SAM (https://www.sam.gov/SAM/) and receive confirmation of an “Active” status before submitting an application through Grants.gov. *As of April 2022, all federal awards including, but not limited to, contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements will use the UEI generated through SAM.gov.* Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

**II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times**

All submission dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

**Applicant Verification of Full Application Submission in eBRAP**

*For Both Extramural and Intramural Applicants*: eBRAP allows an organization’s representatives and PIs to view and modify the full application submissions associated with them. Following retrieval and processing of the full application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the full application submission. eBRAP will validate full application files against the specific program announcement requirements, and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the “Full Application Files” tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP are strongly recommended, but not required. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the program announcement. *If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated*
**full application package must be submitted prior to the application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.** Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. Verify that subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

**Extramural Submission:** The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package, *with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form*, may be modified.

**Intramural DOD Submission:** After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PIs will receive email notification of the status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package, *with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form*, may be modified. The Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve the application package prior to the application verification deadline.

**For All Submissions:** Verify that subaward budget(s) with budget justification are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

**II.D.5. Funding Restrictions**

The maximum period of performance is 3 years.

The anticipated combined direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating and Partnering PIs’ applications should not exceed $750,000. If indirect cost rates have been negotiated, indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization’s negotiated rate. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization’s negotiated rate. The combined budgeted direct costs approved by the government should not exceed $750,000 or use an indirect cost rate exceeding each organization’s negotiated rate.

A separate award will be made to each PI’s organization.

The PIs are expected to be partners in the research, and direct cost funding should be divided accordingly, unless otherwise warranted and clearly justified.

All direct and indirect costs of any subaward or contract must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.

The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 3 years.
For this award mechanism, direct costs must be requested for:

- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel
- Costs for up to two investigators (i.e., one for each PI’s budget) to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year to present project information or disseminate project results from the FY23 PCARP Translational Research Partnership Award

For extramural awards with an intragovernmental component, direct transfer of funds from an extramural award recipient to a DOD or other federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Funding to intramural DOD and other federal agencies will be managed through a direct funds transfer. Intramural applicants are responsible for coordinating through their agency’s procedures the use of contractual or assistance funding awards or other appropriate agreements to support extramural collaborators.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For federal agencies or organizations collaborating with federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5.

II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for detailed formatting guidelines.

II.E. Application Review Information

II.E.1. Criteria

II.E.1.a. Peer Review

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- Research Strategy and Feasibility
  - How well the scientific rationale for the proposed study and its feasibility are supported by the preliminary data, critical review and analysis of the literature, and/or laboratory and/or preclinical evidence.
  - How well the study aims, hypothesis(es) or objective(s), experimental design, methods, and analyses are developed.
  - How well the application acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
  - If animal studies are included, how well they are designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including the choice of model and the endpoints/outcomes to be measured.
○ Whether there is documented availability of and access to quality control for all data and/or critical reagents, and/or cohorts, if applicable.

○ If applicable, how well the plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples is justified and appropriate to accomplish the proposed work.

○ If applicable, whether the strategy for the inclusion of women and minorities and distribution of proposed enrollment are appropriate for the proposed research.

_In addition, for those applications selecting the Translational Research Partnership Award with Pilot Clinical Trial Option:_

○ Whether the proposed laboratory research studies are clearly linked to the proposed clinical trial.

• **Clinical Trial (Translational Research Partnership Award with Pilot Clinical Trial Option only)**

  ○ Whether the type of clinical trial (e.g., prospective, randomized, controlled) proposed is appropriate to meet the project’s objectives.

  ○ How well the clinical trial is designed with appropriate study variables, controls, and endpoints.

  ○ Whether the strategy for the inclusion of women and minorities and distribution of proposed enrollment is appropriate for the proposed research.

  ○ Whether the clinical trial design, methods, and analysis plan meet the requirements for applying for and obtaining IND/IDE application status (or other FDA approval), if appropriate.

• **Regulatory Strategy (Translational Research Partnership Award with Pilot Clinical Trial Option only)**

  ○ How the regulatory strategy and development plan to support the product indication or product label change, if applicable, are appropriate and well described.

  ○ Whether the application includes documentation that the study is exempt from FDA regulation or the IND or IDE application has been submitted to the FDA, as appropriate.

  ○ For investigator-sponsored regulatory exemptions (e.g., IND approval, IDE), whether there is evidence of appropriate institutional support, including capabilities to ensure monitoring as required by the FDA.

  ○ Whether plans to comply with GMP, GLP, and GCP guidelines are appropriate.
• **Statistical Plan**
  ○ To what degree the statistical plan is appropriate for the experimental and/or clinical methodology being used.
  ○ Whether the power analysis for the proposed study adequately represents an assessment of the population or subpopulation proposed, if applicable.
  ○ If applicable, whether data will be appropriately reported and documented to support a regulatory filing with the FDA.

• **Impact**
  ○ How well the proposed research addresses at least one of the [FY23 PCARP Focus Areas](#).
  ○ To what degree the proposed study could, whether in the short term or long term, make a significant impact on pancreatic cancer research and/or patient care, including the goal of diminishing the burden of pancreatic cancer.

• **Personnel**
  ○ How the PIs have assembled an appropriate research team with their combined backgrounds and pancreatic cancer-related experience to enable successful conduct of the project.
  ○ To what degree the proposed partnership between the PIs will result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.
  ○ Whether the levels of effort are appropriate for successful conduct of the proposed project.
  ○ To what degree the proposed project is centered on a unified theme that addresses a central problem or question rather than an additive set of unrelated subprojects.
  ○ How well the application addresses processes for ongoing communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among all participating PIs and institutions.

In addition, the following **unscored** criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

• **Environment**
  ○ To what extent the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research project.
  ○ How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and access to facilities and resources.
- To what degree the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed research.

- If multi-institutional, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

**Budget**

- Whether the direct costs exceed the allowable direct costs as published in the program announcement.

- Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research.

**Application Presentation**

- To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

II.E.1.b. Programmatic Review

To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

- Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers

- Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY23 PCARP, as evidenced by the following:
  
  - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
  
  - Program portfolio balance
  
  - Programmatic relevance to FY23 PCARP Focus Areas
  
  - Partnership and Synergy
  
  - Relative impact

II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review, the evaluation of applications against established criteria to determine technical merit, where each application is assessed for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is programmatic review, a comparison-based process in which applications with high scientific and technical merit are further evaluated for programmatic relevance. Final recommendations for funding are made to the Commanding General, USAMRDC. *The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review.* Additional information about
the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at https://cdmrp.health.mil/about/2tierRevProcess. An information paper describing the funding recommendations and review process for the award mechanisms for the PCARP will be provided to the PI[(s)] and posted on the CDMRP website.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement declaring that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review and approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review and approval process may also result in suspension or debarment from federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with 18 USC 1905.

II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information

Prior to making an assistance agreement award where the federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, as defined in 2 CFR 200.1, over the period of performance, the federal awarding agency is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is available in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).

An applicant organization may review FAPIIS, accessible through SAM, and submit comments to FAPIIS on any information about the organization that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently available in FAPIIS.

The federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant’s integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when determining a recipient’s qualification prior to award, according to the qualification standards of the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGARs), Section 22.415.

II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity.

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.
II.F. Federal Award Administration Information

II.F.1. Federal Award Notices

Awards supported with FY23 funds are anticipated to be made no later than September 30, 2024. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for additional award administration information.

After email notification of application review results through eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from the USAMRAA will contact the Business Official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI’s organization.

Pre-Award Costs: An institution of higher education, hospital, or non-profit organization may, at its own risk and without the government’s prior approval, incur obligations and expenditures to cover costs up to 90 days before the beginning date of the initial budget period of a new award. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5.

Only an appointed USAMRAA Grants Officer may obligate the government to the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of the government should be inferred from discussions with any other individual. The award document signed by the Grants Officer is the official authorizing document.

Federal Government Organizations: Funding made to federal government organizations (to include intramural DOD organizations) will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Transfer of funds is contingent upon appropriate safety and administrative approvals. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective Resource Manager/Task Area Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official.

II.F.1.a. PI Changes and Award Transfers

Unless otherwise restricted, changes in PI or organization will be allowed at the discretion of the USAMRAA Grants Officer, provided the intent of the award mechanism is met.

An organizational transfer of an award supporting the Initiating PI or Partnering PI is discouraged. Approval of a transfer request will be on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

The organizational transfer of an award supporting a clinical trial is strongly discouraged and in most cases will not be allowed. Approval of a transfer request will be on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section B, for general information on organization or PI changes.
II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Applicable requirements in the DoDGARs found in 32 CFR, Chapter I, Subchapter C, and 2 CFR, Chapter XI, apply to grants and cooperative agreements resulting from this program announcement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

Refer to full text of the latest DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions and the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions: Addendum to the DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions for further information.

Certification Regarding Disclosure of Funding Sources. The proposing entity must comply with Section 223(a) of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, which requires that the PI, Partnering PIs (if applicable), and all key personnel:

- Certify that the current and pending support provided on the application is current, accurate, and complete;
- Agree to update such disclosure at the request of the agency prior to the award of support and at any subsequent time the agency determines appropriate during the term of the award; and
- Have been made aware of the requirements under Section 223(a)(1) of this Act.

False, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may result in criminal, civil, or administrative penalties (18 USC 1001).

II.F.3. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section A, for general information on reporting requirements. If there are technical reporting requirement delinquencies for any existing USAMRAA-sponsored awards at the applicant organization, no new awards will be issued to the applicant organization until all delinquent reports have been submitted.

Annual progress reports as well as a final progress report will be required.

The Award Terms and Conditions will specify if more frequent reporting is required. The Award Terms and Conditions will specify if additional and/or more frequent reporting is required.

Public Health Service (PHS) Inclusion Enrollment Reporting Requirement (only required for clinical research studies): Enrollment reporting on the basis of sex/gender, race, and/or ethnicity will be required with each annual and final progress report. The PHS Inclusion Enrollment Report is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page.
Award Expiration Transition Plan: An Award Expiration Transition Plan must be submitted with the final progress report. Use the one-page template “Award Expiration Transition Plan,” available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) under the “Progress Report Formats” section. The Award Expiration Transition Plan must outline whether and how the research supported by this award will progress and must include source(s) of funding, either known or pending.

Awards resulting from this program announcement may entail additional reporting requirements related to recipient integrity and performance matters. Recipient organizations that have federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than $10M are required to provide information to FAPIIS about certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings that reached final disposition within the most recent 5-year period and that were connected with performance of a federal award. These recipients are required to disclose, semiannually, information about criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings as specified in the applicable Representations (see General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B).

II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

II.G.1. eBRAP Help Desk

Questions related to program announcement content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the pre-application or intramural application submission through eBRAP should be directed to the eBRAP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET (closed on most U.S. federal holidays). Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to extramural application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. federal holidays). Note that the eBRAP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov
Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the “Synopsis” page for the program announcement or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

II.H. Other Information

II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions

Questions related to this program announcement should refer to the program name, the program announcement name, and the program announcement version code 802a. The program announcement numeric version code will match the General Application Instructions version code 802.

II.H.2. Administrative Actions

After receipt of pre-applications or applications, the following administrative actions may occur:

II.H.2.a. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.

II.H.2.b. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

II.H.2.c. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:
• An FY23 PCARP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY23 PCARP Programmatic Panel members can be found at https://cdmrp.health.mil/pcarp/panels/panels23.

• The application fails to conform to this program announcement description.

• Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.

• Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).

• To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY23, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (https://cdmrp.health.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies may be administratively withdrawn.

• Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review or approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.

• Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DOD federal agencies, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

• Applications submitted by an intramural DOD organization may be withdrawn if the intramural organization cannot coordinate the use of contractual, assistance, or other appropriate agreements to provide funds to extramural collaborators.

• Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

• The invited application proposes a different research project than that described in the pre-application.

• The Initiating PI or Partnering PI does not meet the eligibility criteria.

• Failure to submit all associated (Initiating and Partnering PIs’) applications by the deadline.

• The application does not address at least one of the FY23 PCARP Focus Areas.

• The research proposed is not based on preliminary data.

• Proof of submission to the FDA is not provided at the award application submission deadline if an IND or IDE application is required to initiate the proposed TRPA research project.
II.H.2.d. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.
## II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Components</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Initiating PI Completed</th>
<th>Partnering PI Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance</strong> <em>(extramural submissions only)</em></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary (Tab 1) and Application Contacts (Tab 2)</strong> <em>(intramural submissions only)</em></td>
<td>Complete tabs as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “Impact.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 “Partnership.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Research Plan: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “AnimalPlan.pdf” if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Strategy: Upload as Attachment 9 with file name “Regulatory.pdf” if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representations (extramural submissions only): Upload as Attachment 10 with file name “RequiredReps.pdf” if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format: Upload as Attachment 11 with file name “MFBudget.pdf” if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Components</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Initiating PI Completed</td>
<td>Partnering PI Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Personal Data</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Budget (extramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget (intramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Suggested DOD Military Budget Format, including justification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form, if applicable</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACURO</td>
<td>Animal Care and Use Review Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARRIVE</td>
<td>Animal Research: Reporting <em>In Vivo</em> Experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDMRP</td>
<td>Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHP</td>
<td>Defense Health Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoDGARs</td>
<td>Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eBRAP</td>
<td>Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Ethics Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Eastern Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAD</td>
<td>Funding Authorization Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAPIIS</td>
<td>Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>Good Clinical Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>Good Laboratory Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMP</td>
<td>Good Manufacturing Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IACUC</td>
<td>Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDE</td>
<td>Investigational Device Exemption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Investigational New Drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB</td>
<td>Institutional Review Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPR</td>
<td>Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHARO</td>
<td>Office of Human and Animal Research Oversight (previously Office of Research Protections)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHRO</td>
<td>Office of Human Research Oversight (previously Human Research Protection Office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORCID</td>
<td>Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCARP</td>
<td>Pancreatic Cancer Research Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>Public Health Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>System for Award Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOW</td>
<td>Statement of Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM</td>
<td>Science, Technology, Engineering, and/or Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPA</td>
<td>Translational Research Partnership Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEI</td>
<td>Unique Entity Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Locator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAMRAA</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAMRDC</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC</td>
<td>United States Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>