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II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

II.A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2017 (FY17) Hearing Restoration Research Program (HRRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency (DHA) J9, Research and Development Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) using delegated authority provided by United States Code, Title 10, Section 2358 (10 USC 2358). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs (OASD[HA]), the DHA manages the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The execution management agent for this Program Announcement is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP).

The HRRP was initiated in 2017 to pursue promising, necessary research for treatment of very prevalent and burdensome auditory system injury. It is estimated that more than 30 million Americans over the age of 12 years have hearing loss in both ears and an estimated 48 million have hearing loss in at least one ear. The most recent data from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) indicates that there are 1.1 million Veterans with Service-connected disability due to hearing loss. The HRRP will fund innovative research that has the potential to maximize operational effectiveness, medical readiness, and quality of life for Service members, Veterans, and others living with significant auditory system injuries. The FY17 appropriation for the HRRP is $10 million (M).

The HRRP challenges the scientific community to design high-impact clinical research that will foster new directions in the field of hearing restoration and propel the science forward to therapeutic interventions that restore auditory function. The HRRP’s mission is to advance the science of hearing restoration by delivering groundbreaking research and solutions that remove barriers to the successful treatment of auditory system injury.

II.A.1. FY17 HRRP Focused Research Award (FRA) Focus Area

To meet the intent of the HRRP, all applications to the FY17 HRRP FRA mechanism must address research in the following Focus Area:

- Develop and validate assessment techniques and or treatment methods that address **functional** hearing restoration, including, for example:
  - Personalized prognostic indicators of therapeutic success
  - Better differential diagnostic tests
  - Improved evaluation of treatment methods
II.B. Award Information

The FY17 HRRP FRA is intended to support functional hearing restoration research that develops and validates assessment techniques and treatment methods using patient-centric outcomes to identify potential predictive indicators for successful treatment of individuals living with functional auditory system deficits. The research in this area should result in refined diagnostic tools and improved evaluation of the effectiveness of therapeutic approaches. Applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military Services, the VA, and other Federal Government agencies are highly encouraged. Under this award mechanism, research may support correlative or observational studies that are associated with an ongoing or completed clinical trial.

Clinical trials or research using animals and tinnitus or vestibular-related research are not permitted under this funding opportunity.

An observational study is a type of clinical study in which human subjects are observed or certain outcomes are measured. No attempt is made to affect the outcome (for example, no treatment is given or diagnosis tested). Retrospective studies analyzing existing clinical data are also clinical studies. These types of studies are not considered clinical trials. All projects should adhere to a core set of standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of research.

A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of patients (human subjects) in whom an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested for a measurable outcome with respect to safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the subject of that intervention or interaction. Clinical trials are not permitted under this award mechanism.

The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for the FY17 HRRP FRA will not exceed $750,000. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed funding information.

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of submission is not required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB/EC. Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes. When possible, protocols should be written for research with human subjects and/or human anatomical substances that are specific to the DoD-supported effort outlined in the submitted application. Submission to HRPO of protocols covering more than the scope of work in the DoD-funded award will require HRPO
review of the entire protocol as DoD-supported research and may include extensive modifications to meet DoD human subjects protection requirements. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) for additional information.

Use of Military and VA Populations and/or Resources: If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, the Principal Investigator (PI) is responsible for demonstrating such access. If possible, access to target active duty military and/or VA patient population(s)/resource(s) should be confirmed at the time of application submission by inclusion of a letter of support, signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military Service members, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withdraw or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resource(s). Note that access to a Veteran population for clinical studies may only be obtained by either collaboration with a VA investigator where the VA investigator has a substantial role in the research or by advertising to the general public.

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K.

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2018. For additional information refer to Section II.F.1, Federal Award Notices.

II.C. Eligibility Information

II.C.1. Eligible Applicants

II.C.1.a. Organization: All organizations, including international organizations, are eligible to apply.

Government Agencies within the United States: Local, state, and Federal Government agencies are eligible to the extent that applications do not overlap with their fully funded internal programs. Such agencies are required to explain how their applications do not overlap with their internal programs.

As applications for this Program Announcement may be submitted by extramural and intramural organizations, these terms are defined below.

Extramural Organization: An eligible non-DoD organization. Examples of extramural organizations include academia, biotechnology companies, foundations, Government, and research institutes.
**Intramural DoD Organization**: A DoD laboratory, DoD military treatment facility, and/or DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.

*Note*: Applications from an intramural organization or from an extramural non-DoD Federal organization may be submitted through a research foundation.

The USAMRAA makes awards to eligible organizations, not to individuals.

**II.C.1.b. Principal Investigator**: Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.

An eligible Principal Investigator, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or citizenship status, must be employed by, or affiliated with, an eligible organization.

The CDMRP encourages all PIs to participate in a digital identifier initiative through Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc. (ORCID). Registration for a unique ORCID identifier can be done online at [http://orcid.org/](http://orcid.org/).

**II.C.2. Cost Sharing**

Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.

**II.C.3. Other**

Organizations must be able to access .gov and .mil websites in order to fulfill the financial and technical deliverable requirements of the award and submit invoices for payment.

Each investigator may submit only one FY17 HRRP FRA application as a PI.

There are no limitations on the number of applications for which an investigator may be named as a co-investigator.

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3.

Refer to [Section II.H.2, Administrative Actions](#), for a list of administrative actions that may be taken if a pre-application or application does not meet the administrative, eligibility, or ethical requirements defined in this Program Announcement.

**II.D. Application and Submission Information**

*Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).*

*Extramural Submission* is defined as an application submitted by a non-DoD organization to Grants.gov.
Intramural Submission is defined as an application submitted by a DoD organization for an intramural investigator, who is a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or military treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.

II.D.1. Address to Request Application Package

The multifunctional web-based system eBRAP allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically, through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance.

Submitting Extramural and Intramural Organizations: Pre-application content and forms can be accessed at [https://eBRAP.org](https://eBRAP.org).

Submitting Extramural Organizations: Full application packages can be accessed at Grants.gov.

Submitting Intramural DoD Organizations: Full application packages can be accessed at eBRAP.org.

Contact information for the CDMRP Help Desk and the Grants.gov Contact Center can be found in Section II.G, Federal Awarding Agency Contacts.

II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission

Submission is a two-step process requiring both pre-application and full application as indicated below. The submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods.

Pre-application Submission: All pre-applications for both extramural and intramural organizations must be submitted through eBRAP ([https://eBRAP.org/](https://eBRAP.org/)).

Full Application Submission: Full applications must be submitted through the online portals as described below.

Submitting Extramural Organizations: Full applications from extramural organizations must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applications submitted by extramural organizations (e.g., research foundations) on behalf of intramural DoD or other Federal organizations or investigators will be considered extramural submissions.

Submitting Intramural DoD Organizations: Intramural DoD organizations may submit full applications to either eBRAP or Grants.gov. Intramural DoD organizations that are unable to submit to Grants.gov should submit through eBRAP. Intramural DoD organizations with the capability to submit through Grants.gov may submit following the instructions for extramural submissions through Grants.gov or may submit to eBRAP. Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal organizations, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn. See definitions in Section II.C.1, Eligible Applicants.
For both Extramural and Intramural applicants: A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization’s representatives and PIs to view and modify the full application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate full application files against the specific Program Announcement requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement.

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent throughout the entire pre-application and application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit or negate the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application deadline.

II.D.2.a. Step 1: Pre-Application Submission Content

During the pre-application process, each submission is assigned a unique log number by eBRAP. This unique eBRAP log number will be needed during the full application submission process.

To begin the pre-application process, first select whether the submitting organization is extramural or intramural, then confirm your selection or cancel. Incorrect selection of extramural or intramural submission type may result in delays in processing.

If an error has been made in the selection of extramural versus intramural and the pre-application submission deadline has passed, the PI or Business Official must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the Initiating PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- Tab 1 – Application Information
- Tab 2 – Application Contacts

Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form). The Business Official must be either selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form), and click on “Add Organizations to this Pre-application.” The organization(s) must be either selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

- **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**

Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.

FY17 HRRP Programmatic Panel members must not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section II.H.2.c, Withdrawal, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in pre-application or application preparation, research, or other duties for submitted pre-applications or applications. For FY17, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). Pre-applications or applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage conflicts of interest (COIs) are provided and deemed appropriate by the Grants Officer. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for detailed information.

- **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**

List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section C, for further information regarding COIs.

- **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**

  - Letter of Intent (LOI) (one-page limit): Provide a brief description of the research to be conducted. LOIs are used for program planning purposes only (e.g., reviewer recruitment) and will not be reviewed during either the peer or programmatic review sessions.

- **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**

This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.
II.D.2.b. Step 2: Full Application Submission Content

All contributors and administrators to the application must use matching compatible versions of Adobe software when editing and preparing application components. The use of different software versions will result in corruption of the submitted file. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for details on compatible Adobe software.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed full application package for this Program Announcement. The full application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov) for extramural organizations or through eBRAP (https://ebrap.org) for intramural organizations. See Table 1 below for more specific guidelines.

II.D.2.b.i. Full Application Guidelines

Extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal agencies, must submit full applications through Grants.gov. Submissions of extramural applications through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

Table 1. Full Application Submission Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Package Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Full Application Package Components** | |
| SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: | Tab 1 – Summary: Provide a summary of the application information. |
| Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information. | Tab 2 – Application Contacts: This tab will be pre-populated by eBRAP; add Authorized Organizational Representative. |

Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:
- Attachments
- Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)
- Research & Related Budget
- Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form
- R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable)

| Tab 3 – Full Application Files: | |
| Upload files under each Application Component in eBRAP. Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components: |
| Attachments | Key Personnel |
| Budget | Performance Sites |

Tab 4 – Application and Budget Data: Review and edit proposed project start date, proposed end date, and budget data pre-populated from the Budget Form.
Application Package Submission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submit package components to Grants.gov (<a href="http://www.grants.gov">http://www.grants.gov</a>). If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or the budget needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.</td>
<td>Submit package components to eBRAP (<a href="https://ebrap.org">https://ebrap.org</a>). Tab 5 – Submit/Request Approval Full Application: After all components are uploaded and prior to the full application submission deadline, enter your password in the space provided “Enter Your Password Here” and press the “Submit Full Application” button. eBRAP will notify your Resource Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official by email to log into eBRAP to review and to approve prior to the application submission deadline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application Verification Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package, with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form, may be modified.</td>
<td>After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official and PI(s) will receive an email notification of this status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package, with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form, may be modified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.</td>
<td>Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV, for further information regarding eBRAP requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The organization’s Business Official or Authorized Organization Representative (or Resource Manager/Comptroller) should approve/verify the full application submission prior to the application verification deadline.

Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP are strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Prior to the full application deadline, a corrected or modified full application package may be submitted. Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

Material submitted after the end of the application verification period, unless specifically requested by the Government, will not be forwarded for processing.
The full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

II.D.2.b.ii. Full Application Submission Components:

- Extramural Applications Only –

  **SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.

- Extramural and Intramural Applications –

  **Attachments:**

  *Each attachment to the full application components must be uploaded as an individual file in the format specified and in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4.*

  For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Attachments will be rejected if the file names are longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, there are file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire full application package may not exceed 200 MB.

  - **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (20-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

    Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below. The Project Narrative must include preliminary data that is relevant to hearing restoration and the proposed research.

    - **Background/Readiness:** Describe how the proposed study is relevant to the HRRP FRA Focus Area. Present the ideas and scientific rationale behind the proposed research project, and clearly demonstrate that there is sufficient evidence, including preliminary data, to support the proposed stage of research. Provide a summary of other relevant ongoing or completed studies and describe how the proposed study differs, and helps move the field forward. Cite relevant literature. Describe previous experience most pertinent to this project.

    - **Hypothesis or Objective:** State the hypothesis to be tested or the objective(s) to be reached.
Specific Aims: Concisely explain the project’s specific aims. If the proposed research project is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this HRRP award would fund.

Study Design and Feasibility: Describe the research strategy, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for evaluation of their appropriateness and feasibility. Describe the statistical plan as appropriate for the proposed research. Address potential problems that may arise and present alternative methods and approaches. Additionally, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples and access to data, as relevant and applicable to the study.

Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation: Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” Start each document on a new page. If documents are scanned to PDF, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. The Supporting Documentation attachment should not include additional information such as figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, or drawings. These items should be included in the Project Narrative. Any additional material viewed as an extension of the Project Narrative will be removed or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
- Letters of Organizational Support (one-page limit per letter): Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable) signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.

- Letters of Collaboration (if applicable) (one-page limit per letter): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work. If an investigator at an intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator’s Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator’s involvement.

- Letter(s) of Support for Use of Military and VA Populations or Resources (if applicable): If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, include a letter(s) of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, confirming such access. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources.

- Letter of Commitment (if applicable) (one-page limit per letter): If the proposed study involves use of a commercially produced investigational drug, device, or biologic, provide a letter of commitment from the commercial entity indicating availability of the product for the duration of the study, support for the proposed phase of research, and support for the indication to be tested.

  - Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

- Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

- Quad Chart: Provide a Quad Chart for the proposed project. The format for the quad chart is available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm.
Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “TechAbs.pdf.” The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below. The technical abstract should provide an appropriate description of the project’s key aspects; clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important.

- Background/Readiness: Present the ideas and scientific rationale behind the proposed research project, including sufficient evidence to support the proposed stage of research.
- Hypothesis or Objective: State the hypothesis(es) to be tested or objective(s) to be reached. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the hypothesis(es)/objective(s).
- Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the proposed research project.
- Study Design: Briefly describe the study design, including appropriate controls.
- Impact: Briefly describe the impact of this study on the field of hearing restoration.
- Translation: Briefly describe how the proposed research project will translate promising, well-founded research findings into clinical applications for functional hearing restoration.

Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “LayAbs.pdf.” The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below. Minimize use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer community. Do not duplicate the technical abstract.

- Describe the objectives and rationale for the proposed research in a manner that will be readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.
- Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.
  - What persons with auditory injury will it help, and how will it help them?
  - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
  - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?
  - What are the likely contributions of the proposed research project to advancing the field of hearing restoration research, patient care, and/or quality of life?
Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf.” The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the FRA mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW (Statement of Work) Generic Format.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

The SOW should include a list of major tasks that support the proposed specific aims, followed by a series of subtasks outlined related to the major tasks and milestones within the period of performance. The SOW should describe only the work for which funding is being requested by this application and, as applicable, should also:

Include the name(s) of the key personnel and contact information for each study site/subaward site.

Indicate the number (and type, if applicable) of research subjects (animal or human) and/or human anatomical samples projected or required for each task and at each site. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information regarding regulatory requirements.

Briefly state the methods to be used.

For studies with prospective accrual of human subjects, indicate quarterly enrollment targets.

Identify cell line(s) and commercial or organizational source(s) to be used. If human anatomical substances (including cell lines) will be used, specify whether or not identifiable information is accessible to the research team by any means.

If applicable, indicate timelines required for regulatory approvals relevant to human subjects research (e.g., Investigational New Drug (IND) and Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) applications) by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or other Government agency.


Study Population: Describe the target population (to whom the study findings will be generalized) and the nature, approximate number, and pertinent demographic characteristics of the accessible population at the study site(s) (population from whom the sample will be recruited/drawn). Demonstrate that the research team has access to the proposed study population. Furthermore, discuss past efforts in recruiting human subjects from the target population for previous clinical studies (if applicable). Address any potential barriers to accrual and plans for addressing unanticipated delays. Include justification of any age, race, ethnicity, or sex limitations provided. For clinical studies proposing to include military personnel, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for more information.
- **Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:** List the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the proposed study. Inclusion/exclusion criteria should take into consideration the specific risk profile of the studies to be conducted and the standard of care for that patient population. Provide detailed justification for exclusions.

  **Inclusion of Women and Minorities in Study:** Consistent with the Belmont Report, “Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects,” and Congressional legislation, special attention is given to inclusion of women and/or minorities in studies funded or supported by the USAMRMC. This policy is intended to promote equity both in assuming the burdens and in receiving the benefits of human subjects research. Include an appropriate justification if women and/or minorities will be excluded from the study.

- **Description of the Recruitment Process:** Explain methods for identification of potential human subjects (e.g., medical record review, obtaining sampling lists, healthcare provider identification).
  
  - Describe the recruitment process in detail. Address who will identify potential human subjects, who will recruit them, and what methods will be used to recruit them.
  
  - If human subjects will be compensated for participation in the study, include a detailed description of and justification for the compensation plan.
  
  - Describe the recruitment and advertisement materials. The recruitment materials should not be coercive or offer undue inducements and should accurately reflect the study.

- **Description of the Informed Consent Process:** Specifically describe the plan for obtaining informed consent from human subjects.
  
  - *For the proposed study, provide a draft, in English, of the Informed Consent Form.*
  
  - Identify who is responsible for explaining the study, answering questions, and obtaining informed consent. Include a plan for ensuring that human subjects’ questions will be addressed during the consent process and throughout the study.
  
  - Include information regarding the timing and location of the consent process.
  
  - Address issues relevant to the mental capacity of the potential human subject (e.g., altered capacity due to brain injury, stress/life situations, human subject age, or administration of any mind-altering substances such as tranquilizers, conscious sedation or anesthesia), if applicable.
  
  - Address how privacy and time for decision making will be provided and whether or not the potential human subject will be allowed to discuss the study with anyone before making a decision.
- Consider the need for obtaining ongoing consent or for re-assessing capacity over the course of a long-term study and describe any relevant procedures to assure continued consent.

- Describe the plan for the consent of the individual’s Legally Authorized Representative (LAR) to be obtained prior to the human subject’s participation in the study. State law defines who may act as the LAR. The local IRB of record should be consulted for guidance regarding who can serve as LAR for research at the study site. Note: The PI must describe a clear intent to benefit for human subjects who cannot give their own consent to participate in the proposed study to be in compliance with Title 10 United States Code Section 980 (10 USC 980) (http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title10/pdf/USCODE-2011-title10-subtitleA-partII-chap49-sec980.pdf). If applicable, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for more information.

- Assent: If minors or other populations that cannot provide informed consent are included in the proposed clinical trial, a plan to obtain assent (agreement) from those with capacity to provide it, or a justification for a waiver of assent, should be provided. PIs should consult with their local IRB to identify the conditions necessary for obtaining assent.

  - Screening Procedures: List and describe any evaluations (e.g., laboratory procedures, history, or physical examination) that are required to determine eligibility/suitability for study participation and the diagnostic criteria for entry. Please note that some screening procedures may require a separate consent or a two-stage consent process. Informed consent must be obtained prior to initiation of any procedures for the purpose of determining eligibility.

  - Risks/Benefits Assessment:

    - Foreseeable risks: Clearly identify all study risks, including potential safety concerns and adverse events. Study risks include any risks that the human subject is subjected to as a result of participation in the study. Consider psychological, legal, social, and economic risks as well as physical risks. If the risks are unknown, this should be stated. If applicable, any potential risk to the study personnel should be identified.

    - Risk management and emergency response:

      - Describe how safety surveillance and reporting to the IRB and FDA (if applicable) will be managed and conducted.

      - Describe all safety measures to minimize and/or eliminate risks to human subjects and study personnel or to manage unpreventable risks. Include safeguards and planned responses such as dose reduction or stopping criteria based on toxicity grading scales or other predetermined alert values.
Discuss the overall plan for provision of emergency care or treatment for an adverse event for study-related injuries, to include who will be responsible for the cost of such care.

Address any special precautions to be taken by the human subjects before, during, and after the study (e.g., medication washout periods, dietary restrictions, hydration, fasting, pregnancy prevention).

If the IRB determines that a study presents greater than minimal risk to human subjects, the DoD requires an independent research monitor with expertise consistent with the nature of risk(s) identified within the research protocol. If applicable, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for more information on study reporting authorities and responsibilities of the research monitor.

- Potential benefits: Describe known and potential benefits of the study to the human subject, a specific community, or society. Note: Payment and/or other compensation for participation are not considered to be benefits and must be addressed in Attachment 6: Description of the Recruitment Process.

- Attachment 7: Translation and Regulatory Strategy Statement (two-page limit): Upload as “Translation.pdf.” Describe the translational aspects of the proposed research. The ultimate goal of translational research is to move an observation forward into clinical application and accelerate the introduction of healthcare products, technologies, or practice guidelines for clinical use. State explicitly how the proposed research project is translational in nature and describe how it will help to move an observation forward into clinical practice and allow for the reciprocal transfer of ideas between basic and clinical science. Clearly articulate the following points along the translational research spectrum:
  - Where the field is now
  - Where the field will be after the successful completion of the proposed research project
  - What the next step will be after completion of the proposed project

Outline the regulatory strategy as applicable to the proposed research. Any specific regulatory milestones, e.g., submission of an application for an IND/IDE, should be included.

- Attachment 8: Data Management (no page limit): Upload as “Data_Manage.pdf.” The Data Management attachment should include the components listed below.
  - Data Management: Describe all methods used for data collection to include the following:
• **Identifiers:** Describe the unique identifiers or specific code system to be used to identify human subjects, if applicable.

• **Confidentiality:**
  
  ❖ Explain measures taken to protect the privacy of human subjects and maintain confidentiality of study data. Strategies to protect the privacy and confidentiality of study records, particularly those containing identifying information, should be addressed.
  
  ❖ Address who will have access to study records, data, and specimens, including an acknowledgment that representatives of the DoD are eligible to review study records.
  
  ❖ Address requirements for reporting sensitive information to state or local authorities.

• **Data capture, verification, and disposition:** Describe how data will be captured and verified. Describe where data (both electronic and hard copy) will be stored, who will keep the data, how the data will be stored, the process for locking the database at study completion, and the length of time data will be stored. Describe the proposed database, how it will be developed and validated, and its capability to safeguard and maintain the integrity of the data. For FDA-regulated studies, compliance with 21 CFR 11 is required.

• **Data reporting:** Describe how data will be reported and how it will be assured that the documentation will support a regulatory filing with the FDA, if applicable.

• **Sharing study results:** In cases where the human subject could possibly benefit medically or otherwise from the information, explain whether or not the results of screening and/or study participation will be shared with human subjects or their primary care provider, to include results from any screening or diagnostic tests performed as part of the study.

  • **Laboratory Evaluations:**
    
    • **Specimens to be collected, schedule, and amount:** All specimens that will be collected for study purposes must be clearly stated. The collection schedule and amount of material collected must also be clearly described.
    
    • **Evaluations to be made:** Describe all evaluations that will be made for study purposes. Explain how the results of laboratory evaluations will be used to meet the objectives of the study (or to monitor safety of human subjects).
    
    • **Storage:** Describe specimen storage, to include location of storage, how long specimens will be stored, any special conditions required, labeling, and specimen disposition. Outline the plan to store specimens for future use to include
considerations for informed consent and providing human subjects with an opportunity to decline participation in the study.

- **Labs performing evaluations and special precautions:** Identify the laboratory performing each evaluation, as well as any special precautions that should be taken in handling the samples. Special precautions that should be taken by the human subject before, during, or after the laboratory procedure should be clearly defined. If transport of samples is required, describe provisions for ensuring proper storage during transport.

  - **Attachment 9: Study Personnel and Organization (no page limit):** Start each document on a new page. Combine into one document and upload as “Personnel.pdf.” The Study Personnel and Organization attachment should include the components listed below.
    - **Organizational Chart:** Provide an organizational chart that identifies key members of the study team and, if applicable, provides an outline of the governing structure for multi-institutional studies. Identify collaborating organizations, centers, and/or departments and name each person’s position on the project. Identify the data and clinical coordinating center(s) and note any involvement from Contract Research Organizations, as appropriate. If applicable, identify the FDA regulatory sponsor and any external consultants or other experts who will assist with FDA applications. While there is no specified format for this information, a table(s) or diagram is recommended.
    - **Study Personnel Description:** Briefly describe the roles of the individuals listed in the organizational chart on the project. Describe relevant experience and qualifications that demonstrate appropriate expertise for the given role. An external research monitor (if applicable) and study coordinator(s) should be included. If the research team includes junior investigators, briefly describe their involvement in the proposed research.
    - **Study Management Plan:** Provide a plan for ensuring the standardization of procedures among staff and across sites (if applicable). If the proposed study is multi-institutional, clearly describe the multi-institutional structure governing the research protocol(s) across all participating institutions. Provide a regulatory submission plan for the master protocol and master consent form by the lead organization; include a single IRB/EC pathway whenever possible. If applicable, describe how communication and data transfer between the collaborating institutions will occur, as well as how data, specimens, and/or imaging products obtained during the study will be handled and shared. Provide a plan for real-time communication among collaborating institutions (if applicable).

  - **Attachment 10: Impact and Military Benefit Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Impact.pdf.” Describe the short- and long-term impact of this study on the field of hearing restoration research and how the proposed research project will lead to a clinical
application that will impact Service members or Veterans living with auditory system injury.

○ **Attachment 11: Transition Plan and Regulatory Strategy (three-page limit):**
  **Upload as “Transition.pdf.”** Describe/discuss the methods and strategies proposed to move the anticipated research outcomes to the next phase of development (clinical trials, commercialization, and/or delivery to the civilian or military market) after successful completion of the award. Applicants are encouraged to work with their organization’s Technology Transfer Office (or equivalent) to develop the transition plan. PIs are encouraged to explore developing relationships with industry and/or other funding agencies to facilitate moving the product or technique into the next phase of development. The post-award transition plan should include the components listed below.

  – The planned indication for the product label, if appropriate, and an outline of the development plan required to support that indication. Describe in detail the FDA regulatory strategy, to include considerations for compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices, Good Laboratory Practices, and Good Clinical Practice guidelines (if appropriate).

  – Details of the funding strategy to transition to the next level of development and/or commercialization (e.g., partners, internal/external funding opportunities to be applied for). Include a description of collaborations and other resources that will be used to provide continuity of development.

  – For Knowledge Products, a description of collaborations and other resources that will be used to provide continuity of development including proposed development or modification of clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) and recommendations, provider training materials, patient brochures, and other clinical support tools, scientific journal publications, models, simulations, and applications. A “Knowledge Product” is a non-materiel product that addresses an identified need, topic area, or capability gap, is based on current evidence and research, aims to transition into medical practice, training, tools, or to support materiel solutions (systems to develop, acquire, provide, and sustain medical solutions and capabilities), and educates or impacts behavior throughout the continuum of care, including primary prevention of negative outcomes.

  – A brief schedule and milestones for transitioning the intervention to the next phase of development (next-phase clinical trials, commercialization, delivery to the military or civilian market, incorporation into clinical practice, and/or approval by the FDA).

  – Ownership rights/access to the intellectual property necessary for the development and/or commercialization of products or technologies supported with this award and the Government’s ability to access such products or technologies in the future.

  – If applicable, a risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability.
Attachment 12: DoD Military Budget Form(s), if applicable: Upload as “MFBudget.pdf.” If a military facility (Military Health System facility, research laboratory, medical treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the DoD Military Budget Form, available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm), including a budget justification, for each military facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.

Extramural and Intramural Applications –

Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (six-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.” The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the portable document format (PDF) that is not editable.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (six-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”

- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

Research & Related Budget: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.” The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.
• Extramural Applications Only –

R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable): Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, for detailed information.

○ Extramural Subaward: Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, for detailed information.)

Intramural DoD Collaborator(s): Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and upload to Grants.gov as Attachment 12. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.) Intramural DoD Collaborator(s) costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs.

II.D.3. Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)

Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Verify the status of the applicant’s organization’s Entity registration in SAM well in advance of the application submission deadline. Allow 3 to 4 weeks to complete the entire SAM registration process. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the Federal awarding agency is ready to make a Federal award, the Federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

Applicant Verification of Full Application Submission in eBRAP

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of a submitted application. Following retrieval and processing of the full application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the full application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement. If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated full application package must be
submitted prior to the application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

II.D.5. Funding Restrictions

The maximum period of performance is 3 years.

The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed $750,000. If indirect cost rates have been negotiated, indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization’s negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government exceeding $750,000 direct costs or using an indirect cost rate exceeding the organization’s negotiated rate.

All direct and indirect costs of any subaward or contract must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.

The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 3 years.

For this award mechanism, direct costs must be requested for:

Travel costs for the PI(s) to disseminate project results at one DoD-sponsored meeting such as In-Progress Review meeting or the Military Health System Research Symposium. For planning purposes, it should be assumed that the meeting will be held in the National Capital Area. Costs associated with travel to this meeting should be included in Year 2 of the budget. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Research-related subject costs
- Clinical research costs
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel
- Travel costs for one investigator to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year in addition to the required DoD meeting described above

Must not be requested for:

- Clinical trial costs

Extramural (non-Federal) awards will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). For extramural awards with an intragovernmental component, direct transfer of funds from an extramural award recipient to a DoD or other Federal agency is not
allowed except under very limited circumstances. Funding to intramural DoD and other Federal agencies will be managed through a direct fund transfer. Intragovernmental only funding to intramural DoD and other Federal agencies will be managed through a direct fund transfer. Intramural applicants are responsible for coordinating through their agency’s procedures the use of contractual or assistance funding awards or other appropriate agreements to support extramural collaborators.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $2.4M of the $10M FY17 HRRP appropriation to fund approximately 2 Focused Research Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for detailed formatting guidelines.

II.E. Application Review Information

II.E.1. Criteria

II.E.1.a. Peer Review

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility**
  - How well the preliminary data and scientific rationale support the proposed research project and demonstrate sufficient evidence to support moving into the proposed stage of research.
  - How well the hypothesis/hypotheses or objective(s), specific aims, research strategy, methods, and analyses are developed and integrated into the project.
  - To what extent the proposed research project is feasible as described.
  - How well the application acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
  - How well the proposed research is designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including controls, sample size estimation, blinding, randomization, statistical analysis, and data handling.
○ Whether the research can be completed within the proposed period of performance.

○ If applicable, whether data will be appropriately reported and documented to support a regulatory filing with the FDA.

○ For research involving human subjects:

  – How well the population(s) of interest is (are) described, access to the population(s) is demonstrated, viable plans for recruitment, consent, screening, and retention of appropriate subjects are outlined, and whether methods are identified to gain a representative sample from the population(s) of interest.

  – How well plans for addressing ethical and regulatory considerations have been developed, including consideration of privacy issues, and the process for obtaining informed consent.

  – If applicable, whether there is evidence demonstrating availability of the device/intervention from its source for the duration of the proposed study.

• Impact

○ How effective the proposed research project will be in making important contributions toward the goal of advancing hearing restoration research.

○ If successful, to what extent the project will address a critical problem in hearing restoration research and impact the FY17 HRRP FRA Focus Area.

○ If successful, to what extent the anticipated short-term or long-term research outcome(s) will significantly advance current knowledge/technologies and ultimately contribute to the field of hearing restoration research.

○ To what extent the anticipated outcomes of the project will lead to improved quality of life for Service members and Veterans impacted by auditory system injury.

• Translational Potential

○ How well the project will translate promising research findings into clinical applications for individuals living with auditory system injuries.

○ How well the application describes the current state of knowledge or practice, and how well the application describes and justifies how the proposed work will move the field closer to a clinical application by the end of the study.

○ How well the application describes feasible next steps to be taken after the end of the proposed study toward a clinical application for individuals with auditory deficits.
• **Transition Plan**
  
  o How the application demonstrates feasible methods and strategies to move the project’s findings to the next phase of development, clinical trial(s), and/or delivery to the commercial market after successful completion of the award.
  
  o Whether the identified next level of development and/or commercialization is realistic.
  
  o Whether the funding strategy described to bring the anticipated research outcomes to the next level of development (e.g., specific potential industry partners, specific funding opportunities to be applied for) is reasonable and realistic.
  
  o If applicable, whether the proposed collaborations and other resources for providing continuity of development, including proposed development or modification of CPGs and recommendations, provider training materials, patient brochures, and other clinical support tools, scientific journal publications, models, simulations, and applications are established and/or achievable.
  
  o Whether the applicant has demonstrated access to all intellectual property rights necessary for development and commercialization of products or technologies supported by this award and evidence that the Government has the ability to access such products or technologies.

• **Personnel**

  o To what extent the backgrounds and expertise of the PI and key personnel are appropriate to accomplish the proposed research project.
  
  o To what extent the levels of effort by the PI and key personnel are appropriate to ensure the success of this project.
  
  o How well the PI’s record of accomplishments demonstrates his/her ability to accomplish the proposed research project.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

• **Environment**

  o To what extent the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research project.
  
  o How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).
  
  o To what extent the quality and level of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed research project.
• If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

• Budget
  ○ Whether the maximum direct costs are equal to or less than the allowable maximum direct costs as published in the Program Announcement.
  ○ Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research.

• Application Presentation
  ○ To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

II.E.1.b. Programmatic Review

To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

• Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers

• Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY17 HRRP, as evidenced by the following:
  ○ Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
  ○ Military relevance
  ○ Programmatic relevance and portfolio composition
  ○ Relative impact

II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. Each application is evaluated for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the Commanding General, USAMRMC, on behalf of the DHA and the OASD(HA), based on technical merit, the relevance to the mission of the DHP and HRRP, the specific intent of the award mechanism, and to other specified evaluation criteria in the Program Announcement. Programmatic review is a comparison-based process in which applications with scientific and technical merit compete in a common pool. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.
All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with 18 USC 1905.

II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information

Prior to making an assistance agreement award where the Federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently $150,000) over the period of performance, the Federal awarding agency is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is available in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).

An applicant, at its option, may review FAPIIS, accessible through SAM, and submit comments to FAPIIS on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently available in FAPIIS.

The Federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant’s integrity, business ethics and record of performance under Federal awards when determining a recipient’s qualification prior to award, according to the qualification standards of the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGAR), Section 22.415.

II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity.

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

II.F. Federal Award Administration Information

II.F.1. Federal Award Notices

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2018. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for additional award administration information.

Awards are made to organizations, not to individual PIs. The types of awards made under the Program Announcement will be assistance agreements (grants or cooperative agreements). The
level of involvement on the part of DoD during project performance is the key factor in
determining whether to award a grant or cooperative agreement.

**Extramural Organizations:** An assistance agreement (grant or cooperative agreement) is
appropriate when the Federal Government transfers a “thing of value,” to a “state, local
government,” or “other recipient,” to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation
authorized by a law of the United States, instead of acquiring property or service for the direct
benefit and use of the U.S. Government. An assistance agreement can take the form of a grant or
cooperative agreement. If “no substantial involvement” on the part of the funding agency is
anticipated, a grant award will be made (31 USC 6304). Conversely, if substantial involvement
on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a cooperative agreement will be made (31 USC
6305). Substantial involvement may include collaboration, participation, or intervention in the
research to be performed under the award. The award type, along with the start date, will be
determined during the negotiation process.

After email notification of application review results through the eBRAP, and if selected for
funding, a representative from the USAMRAA will contact the business official authorized to
negotiate on behalf of the PI’s organization.

Only an appointed USAMRAA Grants Officer may obligate the Government to the expenditure
of funds. No commitment on the part of the Government should be inferred from discussions
with any other individual. The award document signed by the Grants Officer is the official
authorizing documents.

**Intramural Organizations:** Awards to Federal Government organizations (to include intramural
DoD organizations) will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request
(MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Transfer of funds is contingent
upon appropriate safety and administrative approvals. Intramural applicants and collaborators
are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource
managers (RM).

After email notification of application review results through the eBRAP, and if selected for
funding, a representative from the CDMRP will contact the business official authorized to
negotiate on behalf of the PI’s organization.

**II.F.1.a. PI Changes and Award Transfers**

Unless otherwise restricted, changes in PI or organization will be allowed at the discretion of the
USAMRAA Grants Officer, provided that the intent of the award mechanism is met. An
organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of
performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section B, for general information on
organization or PI changes.
II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

In addition to written progress reports, in-person presentations at the In-Progress Review meeting may be requested.

Applicable requirements in the DoDGAR found in 32 CFR, Chapter 1, Subchapter C, and 2 CFR, Chapter XI, apply to grants and cooperative agreements resulting from this Program Announcement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

Refer to full text of the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions for Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Non-Profit Organizations and the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions with For-Profit Organizations for further information.

II.F.3. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section A, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly, annual, and final technical progress reports and quad charts will be required.

Awards resulting from this Program Announcement will incorporate additional reporting requirements related to recipient integrity and performance matters. Recipient organizations that have Federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than $10,000,000 are required to provide information to FAPIIS about certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings that reached final disposition within the most recent 5-year period and that were connected with performance of a Federal award. Recipients are required to disclose semiannually information about criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings as specified in the applicable Terms and Conditions. The applicable Terms and Conditions for institutions of higher education, hospitals, and nonprofit organizations are available in OAR Article I, Section B, in the July 2016 R&D General Terms and Conditions. The applicable Terms and Conditions for for-profit organizations are available in Section 34 of the February 2017 USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions with For-Profit Organizations.

II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

II.G.1. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the pre-application or intramural application submission through eBRAP
should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507
Email: help@eBRAP.org

II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to extramural application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035
Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

II.H. Other Information

II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions

Questions related to this Program Announcement should refer to the Program name, the Program Announcement name, and the Program Announcement version code 20170516d. The Program Announcement numeric version code will match the General Applications Instructions version code 20170516.

II.H.2. Administrative Actions

After receipt of applications, the following administrative actions may occur:

II.H.2.a. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Pre-application was not submitted.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
II.H.2.b. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

II.H.2.c. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- An FY17 HRRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. *A list of the FY17 HRRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at [http://cdmrp.army.mil/hrhp/panels/panels17](http://cdmrp.army.mil/hrhp/panels/panels17).*
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY17, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website ([http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess](http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess)). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage COIs are provided and deemed appropriate by the Grants Officer. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal agencies, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn.
- Applications submitted by an intramural DoD organization may be withdrawn if the intramural organization cannot coordinate the use of contractual, assistance, or other appropriate agreements to provide funds to extramural collaborators.
- Investigator submits more than one application as PI results in withdrawal of all applications received after the first submission.
• Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

• The application proposes animal research or a clinical trial.

• The application proposes tinnitus or vestibular-related research.

II.H.2.d. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.
## II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Components</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF424 (R&amp;R) Application for Federal Assistance <em>(Extramural submissions only)</em></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary (Tab 1) and Application Contacts (Tab 2) <em>(Intramural submissions only)</em></td>
<td>Complete these tabs as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attachments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “HumSubProc.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Translation and Regulatory Strategy Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name “Translation.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Management: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “Data_Manage.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Personnel and Organization: Upload as Attachment 9 with file name “Personnel.pdf”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 10 with file name “Impact.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transition Plan: Upload as Attachment 11 with file name “Transition.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DoD Military Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 12 with file name “MFBudget.pdf,” as applicable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Components</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Budget (Extramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget (Intramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and justification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form, if applicable</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST

ARRIVE  Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments
CDMRP  Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs
CFR  Code of Federal Regulations
CPG  Clinical Practice Guideline
DHA  Defense Health Agency
DHP  Defense Health Program
DoD  Department of Defense
DoDGR  Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations
DUNS  Data Universal Numbering System
eBRAP  Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal
EC  Ethics Committee
ET  Eastern Time
FAD  Funding Authorization Document
FDA  Food and Drug Administration
FRA  Focused Research Award
FY  Fiscal Year
HRRP  Hearing Restoration Research Program
HRPO  Human Research Protection Office
IRB  Institutional Review Board
LOI  Letter of Intent
M  Million
MIPR  Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request
OASD(HA)  Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs
OMB  Office of Management and Budget
ORP  Office of Research Protections
PI  Principal Investigator
RDT&E  Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation
RM  Resource Manager
SAM  System for Award Management
SOW  Statement of Work
USAMRAA  U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
USAMRMC  U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
USC  United States Code
VA  Department of Veterans Affairs