Program Announcement

for the Department of Defense Defense Health Program Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research Program

Orthotics Outcomes Research Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-16-OPORP-OORA

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420 Military Medical Research and Development

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- Pre-Application Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), August 22, 2016
- Invitation to Submit an Application: September 26, 2016
- Application Submission Deadline: 11:59 p.m. ET, November 16, 2016
- End of Application Verification Period: 5:00 p.m. ET, November 21, 2016
- Peer Review: January 2017
- Programmatic Review: March 2017

This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is one of two documents with instructions to prepare and submit an application for this funding opportunity. The second document, the General Application Instructions, is available for downloading from Grants.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | Fu | Inding Opportunity Description | 3 | | |
|----------------------------|-------|---|----|--|--|
| | A. | Program Description | 3 | | |
| | B. | FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas | 3 | | |
| | C. | Award Information | 4 | | |
| | D. | Eligibility Information | 7 | | |
| | E. | Funding | 8 | | |
| II. | Su | Ibmission Information | 10 | | |
| | A. | Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package | 10 | | |
| | B. | Pre-Application Submission Content | 11 | | |
| | C. | Full Application Submission Content | | | |
| | D. | Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP | | | |
| | E. | Submission Dates and Times | 26 | | |
| | F. | Other Submission Requirements | | | |
| III. | . Aj | pplication Review Information | | | |
| | A. | Application Review and Selection Process | | | |
| | B. | Application Review Process | 27 | | |
| | C. | Recipient Qualification | | | |
| | D. | Application Review Dates | | | |
| | E. | Notification of Application Review Results | | | |
| IV. Administrative Actions | | | | | |
| | A. | Rejection | | | |
| | B. | Modification | | | |
| | C. | Withdrawal | | | |
| | D. | Withhold | | | |
| V. | A | ward Administration Information | | | |
| | A. | Award Notice | | | |
| | В. | Administrative Requirements | | | |
| | C. | National Policy Requirements | | | |
| | D. | Reporting | | | |
| | E. | Award Transfers | | | |
| VI. | Ve | ersion Codes and Agency Contacts | 32 | | |
| | A. | Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity and General Application Instructions | | | |
| | _ | Version | | | |
| | B. | CDMRP Help Desk | | | |
| | С. | Grants.gov Contact Center | | | |
| VI | I. Aj | pplication Submission Checklist | 33 | | |

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research Program (OPORP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency, Research, Development, and Acquisition (DHA RDA) Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs [OASD(HA)], the DHA RDA Directorate manages and executes the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The managing agent for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP).

The OPORP was initiated in 2014 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit with the potential to make a significant impact on improving the health and well-being of Service members, Veterans, and other individuals living with limb deficit. Appropriations for the OPORP totaled \$20 million (M) for FY14 through FY15. The FY16 appropriation is \$10M. The Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research Award (OPORA) was first offered in FY14. Through FY14 and FY15, 180 applications have been received, and 17 have been recommended for funding.

B. FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas

1. The Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research Programmatic Panel identified the following five focus areas for FY16.

<u>To meet the intent of the award mechanism, applications must specifically address one</u> or more of the FY16 OPORP Focus Areas listed here. Applications proposing research outside of the Focus Areas should not be submitted in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

- Lack of short- and long-term evidence for existing support and reintegration strategies following neuromusculoskeletal injury, and a need for new evidence-based support and reintegration strategies
- Limited current technologies, including prosthetics and orthotics, for the rehabilitation or replacement of function that optimize patient interaction, usability, and durability
- Limited ability to predict, prevent, and mitigate development of secondary health deficits following neuromusculoskeletal injury
- Limited understanding of the management of patient rehabilitation strategies throughout the rehabilitation process following neuromusculoskeletal injury
- Lack of validated metrics that effectively assess initial presentation, rehabilitation, and reintegration following neuromusculoskeletal injury
- 2. Applications to the FY16 OPORP OORA Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity must demonstrate direct relevance to male and female Service members and Veterans with traumatic limb injury. The FY16 OPORP OORA specifically invites

outcome studies evaluating upper and lower limb orthotic devices, associated treatments, and rehabilitation strategies that are translatable to clinicians and patients.

- 3. In addition to the required focus areas above, it is highly encouraged that applications to the FY16 OPORP OORA address one or more of the following areas of encouragement:
 - Longitudinal studies that include short- and long-term outcomes.
 - Compare the effectiveness of different patient care approaches (i.e., orthotic devices and associated treatments and rehabilitation strategies) to enhance patient-centered clinical decision making.
 - Provide information on quality of life, reintegration, and/or return to duty/return to work as it pertains to those patients who use an orthotic device due to limb impairment.
 - Compare the effectiveness of orthotic devices, associated treatments, and rehabilitation issues unique to underserved populations (i.e., women, minorities, etc.).

Note: Where applicable, normative data utilized in comparative studies must be population specific.

Studies may also be proposed that consider outcome factors related to healthcare delivery and process such as cost, value, accessibility, medical policy, and patient preferences. Studies should have a patient-centric focus and may include methodologies and designs such as surveys, retrospective data analyses, simulation modeling, longitudinal observation, cross-sectional observation, case control, or qualitative research study designs.

Animal studies are not allowed under this award mechanism.

C. Award Information

The FY16 OPORP OORA challenges the scientific community to address which orthotic devices, treatments, and technologies will generate the best patient outcomes. Outcomes research will further support evidence-based practice, allowing providers to optimize the care to Service members and Veterans with limb loss and/or limb impairment. It is expected that any research findings will also provide benefit to the general population. Applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the U.S. military Services, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other Federal Government agencies are highly encouraged.

The OORA is intended to support research that evaluates the comparative effectiveness of orthotic clinical interventions and/or their associated rehabilitation interventions, using patient-centric outcomes for Service members and Veterans who have undergone limb impairment.

The FY16 OORA offers funding for different research levels. The following are generalized descriptions of the scope of research appropriate for each research level:

- **Research Level 1/New Investigators:** This level may support pilot research or research that is already supported by preliminary data and has the potential to make significant advancements toward clinical translation. It may be used to address all focus areas and areas of encouragement outlined above. *Specific eligibility details are provided in <u>Section I.D., Eligibility Information</u>.*
- **Research Level 2:** Research that is supported by preliminary data and has the potential to make significant advancements toward clinical translation.
- **Research Level 3:** Advanced translational clinical studies that are supported by preliminary data and have the potential for near-term clinical investigation.

Specific information is provided in <u>Section I.D., Eligibility Information</u>, and <u>Section I.E.,</u> <u>Funding</u>.

Research Involving an FDA-Regulated Drug, Biologic, or Device: If the study proposed involves the use of a drug that has not been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the proposed investigational use, evidence that an Investigational New Drug (IND) exemption application that meets all requirements under the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Part 312 (21 CFR 312) has been submitted or will be submitted to the FDA within 60 days of award is required. If the investigational product is a device, evidence that an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) application that meets all requirements under 21 CFR 812 has been submitted or will be submitted to the FDA within 60 days of award, or that the device is exempt from an IDE, is required. The Government reserves the right to withdraw funding if the IND or IDE application has not been submitted to the FDA within 60 days of award date or if the documented status of the IND or IDE has not been obtained within 12 months of the award date.

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human

Cadavers: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of submission is *not* required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB/EC. *Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes*. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/</u>public/Program.htm) for additional information.

Rigor of Experimental Design: All projects should adhere to accepted standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of preclinical research. Core standards are described in Landis, S.C., et al., A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research, *Nature* 2012, 490:187-191

(www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7419/full/nature11556.html). While these standards were written for preclinical studies, the basic principles of randomization, blinding, sample-size estimation, and data handling derive from well-established best practices in research and should be applied consistently across basic and translational studies.

DoD Collaboration and Alignment Encouraged: Relevance to the healthcare needs of the Armed Forces, their family members, and/or the Veteran population is a key feature of this award. Therefore, Principal Investigators (PIs) are strongly encouraged to collaborate, integrate, and/or align their research projects with DoD and/or VA research and/or medical treatment facilities and programs. The following websites may be useful in identifying information about ongoing DoD and VA areas of research interest:

Air Force Research Laboratory <u>http://www.wpafb.af.mil/afrl</u>

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program <u>https://crmrp.amedd.army.mil/</u>

Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs <u>http://cdmrp.army.mil</u>

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency http://www.darpa.mil/

Defense Technical Information Center <u>http://www.dtic.mil</u>

Naval Health Research Center http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nhrc

Naval Medical Research Center http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nmrc/Pages/ NMRD.aspx

Navy and Marine Corps Public Health Center http://www.nmcphc.med.navy.mil/

Office of Naval Research http://www.med.navy.mil/

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics <u>http://www.acq.osd.mil/</u>

U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity http://www.usamraa.army.mil

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command http://mrmc.amedd.army.mil/index.cfm

U.S. Army Research Laboratory <u>http://www.arl.army.mil</u>

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory <u>https://www.nrl.navy.mil/</u>

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research and Development <u>http://www.research.va.gov/</u>

Use of Military and VA Populations or Resources: If the proposed research involves access to military and/or VA population(s) and/or resource(s), the PI is responsible for establishing access. Access to target military and/or VA patient population(s) should be confirmed at the time of application submission. A letter of support, signed by the appropriate organization official with approval authority, should be included as part of Attachment 2 for studies involving Service members, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases. See <u>Section II.C., Full Application Submission Content; Supporting</u> Documentation.

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the

research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K.

D. Eligibility Information

Although a PI may be eligible for more than one research level category, only one category may be chosen; the choice of application category is at the discretion of the PI given their eligibility.

• Research Level 1/New Investigator Applications:

- PIs are eligible for this award if, *at the time of pre-application submission*, they:
 - Are within 5 years of their last training position;
 - Are able to demonstrate the freedom to pursue research goals outside of a formal mentorship program or arrangement;
 - Can provide evidence of organizational support, such as start-up funds provided by the institution and/or use of a technician, space, facilities, and resources;
- PIs working within a laboratory team are eligible to apply for this award provided they meet the criteria above.
- Graduate students, postdoctoral fellows, and other formally mentored researchers are not eligible for this award.

• Research Level 2 Applications:

- Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- Research Level 3 Applications:
 - Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- All Applications:
 - Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
 - Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include Federal agencies, national, international, for-profit, nonprofit, public, and private organizations.
 - An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Submissions from intramural (DoD) organizations are allowed and encouraged for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Applicants submitting through their intramural organizations are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. *If an investigator at an*

intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator's involvement.

• Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

E. Funding

It is the responsibility of the PI to select the Research Level that is most appropriate for the proposed research project. The requested budget level should be appropriate for the scope of research proposed.

• For Research Level 1/New Investigator:

- The maximum period of performance is 2 years.
- The anticipated total costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed \$300,000. Indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government exceeding \$300,000 total costs or using an indirect rate exceeding the organization's negotiated rate.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **2** years.
- For Research Level 2:
 - The maximum period of performance is **3** years.
 - The anticipated total costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed **\$500,000**. Indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government exceeding **\$500,000** total costs or using an indirect rate exceeding the organization's negotiated rate.
 - All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
 - The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **3** years.

• For Research Level 3:

- The maximum period of performance is **3** years.
- The anticipated total costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed **\$2,000,000**. Indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government

exceeding **\$2,000,000** total costs or using an indirect rate exceeding the organization's negotiated rate.

- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **3** years.

For this award mechanism, direct costs may be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary of non-Government personnel, which includes contract research personnel at Government facilities
- Research supplies and equipment
- Research-related subject costs
- Clinical research costs
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel
- Travel costs for up to 2 investigators to travel to 2 scientific/technical meetings per year

Direct costs must be requested for:

- Travel costs for the PI(s) to disseminate project results at one OPORP In-Progress Review meeting during Year 2 or beyond in the period of performance. For planning purposes, it should be assumed that the meeting will be held in the National Capital Area. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.
- Travel costs for the PI(s) to disseminate project results at one DoD-sponsored scientific meeting (e.g., Military Health System Research Symposium) during Year 2 or beyond in the period of performance. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural (DoD) agencies and other Federal agencies may be managed through a direct fund transfer (e.g., the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request [MIPR]; Funding Authorization Document [FAD] process; or DD Form 1144 Support Agreement). Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a DoD agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. *For Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as noted in Section II.C.4. of the General Application Instructions*.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately \$4.6M of the \$10M FY16 OPORP appropriation to fund approximately two Research Level 1/New Investigator, four Research Level 2, and one Research Level 3 Orthotics Outcomes Research Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (<u>https://eBRAP.org/</u>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<u>http://www.grants.gov/</u>). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for registration and submission requirements for eBRAP and Grants.gov.

The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Appendix 3 of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance. A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization's representatives and PIs to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate Grants.gov application files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent for the entire preapplication and application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507 prior to the application deadline.

Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. *The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.* Prior to the full application deadline, a corrected or modified full application package may be submitted. Other application components may be changed until the end of the <u>application verification period</u>. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

A. Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package

To obtain the Grants.gov application package, including all required forms, perform a basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number W81XWH-16-OPORP-OORA in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/).

B. Pre-Application Submission Content

The pre-application process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods.

During the pre-application process, each submission is assigned a unique log number by eBRAP. This unique eBRAP log number will be needed during the application process on Grants.gov.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (<u>https://eBRAP.org/</u>). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- Tab 1 Application Information
- Tab 2 Application Contacts
 - Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization's Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the "person to be contacted on matters involving this application" in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form). The Business Official must either be selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
 - Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form), and click on "Add Organizations to this Pre-application." The organization(s) must either be selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
 - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

• Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel

- Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.
- FY16 OPORP Programmatic Panel members should not be involved in any preapplication or application including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development or provision of any

supporting documentation, to include letters of recommendation. A list of the FY16 OPORP Programmatic Panel members can be found at <u>http://cdmrp.army.mil/oporp/panels/oora-pora-panel16.shtml</u>. For questions related to Panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to <u>Section IV.C.</u>, <u>Withdrawal</u>, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507.

 To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in application preparation, research, or other duties for submitted applications. For FY16, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess.shtml). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage conflicts of interest (COIs) are provided and deemed appropriate by the Government. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for detailed information.

• Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest (COIs)

List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship). Refer to Appendix 1, Section C, of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding COIs.

• Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files

Note: Upload documents as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.

Preproposal Narrative (3-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

• For All Research Levels:

- Alignment with Focus Areas: Note specifically which FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Area(s) and areas of encouragement, if applicable, the proposed work addresses. <u>To meet the intent of the award mechanism, applications must</u> <u>specifically address one or more of the FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas.</u> <u>Applications proposing research outside of the Focus Areas should not be</u> <u>submitted in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.</u>
- **Research Plan:** Concisely state the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed clinical research or clinical trial is based. State the project's hypothesis,

objectives, specific aims, and briefly describe the experimental approach. State the developmental stage of the proposed research.

- Military Benefit and Impact: Describe how the proposed work will impact the healthcare needs of Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic neuromusculoskeletal injury as well as their families, caregivers, and/or communities.
- Personnel: <u>Briefly</u> state the relevant qualifications of the PI and key personnel to perform the proposed effort.

Pre-Application Supporting Documentation: The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application *must be uploaded as individual pdf files (except where otherwise noted)* and are limited to:

- **References Cited (one-page limit):** List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
- **List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols:** Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.
- **PI Biographical Sketch (six-page limit):** Include a biographical sketch for the PI only.
 - For Research Level 1/New Investigator: Biosketch should clearly illustrate the New Investigator's eligibility to submit a Research Level 1/New Investigator pre-application.
- Quad Chart: Upload as "QuadChart.ppt." The Quad Chart template is a onepage PowerPoint file that must be downloaded from eBRAP at <u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u> in the "Generic Forms for Application Submission" section, then completed and saved as a PPT or PPTX file.
- Tab 6 Submit Pre-Application
 - This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

• Pre-Application Screening Criteria

To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the OPORP, pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:

- Alignment with Focus Areas: How well the project addresses at least one of the FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas and areas of encouragement, if applicable.
- **Research Plan:** How well the proposed clinical research or clinical trial addresses the intent of the award mechanism and the program. To what degree the rationale, objectives, and specific aims support the research idea. How the endpoints are

appropriate for the proposed study. Whether the developmental stage of the proposed research is appropriate.

- **Military Benefit:** How the proposed work would benefit the healthcare needs of Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic neuromusculoskeletal injury as well as their families, caregivers, and/or communities.
- **Personnel:** How the qualifications and expertise of the PI and key personnel are appropriate to perform the proposed research or clinical trial.

Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results

Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the <u>title page</u> of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Invitations to submit a full application are based on the Pre-Application Screening Criteria as published above.

C. Full Application Submission Content

The application process should be started early on Grants.gov to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Verify the status of the applicant's organization's Entity registration in the SAM well in advance of the application submission deadline. Allow 3 to 4 weeks to complete the entire SAM registration process. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II, for additional information.

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.

All contributors and administrators to the application must use matching compatible versions of Adobe software when editing and preparing application components. The use of different software versions will result in corruption of the submitted file. See Section II.C. of the General Application Instructions for details on compatible Adobe software.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed Grants.gov application package for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The Grants.gov application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (<u>http://www.grants.gov/</u>).

Note: The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or Budget Form needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID *prior to the application submission deadline*. The Grants.gov application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

Grants.gov application package components: For the Orthotics Outcomes Research Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

Each attachment to the Grants.gov application forms must be uploaded as an individual PDF file in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in Appendix 2 of the General Application Instructions. For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Grants.gov will reject attachments with file names longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, Grants.gov has file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire Grants.gov application package may not exceed 200 MB.

• Attachment 1: Project Narrative (15-page limit): Upload as

"ProjectNarrative.pdf." The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

• **Background:** State the relevance of the research and applicability of the proposed findings to at least one of the <u>FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas</u> and areas of encouragement, if applicable. Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work. Cite relevant literature and pilot, preliminary, or preclinical data as appropriate.

For research involving human subjects:

- Provide a summary of relevant prior clinical and preclinical work and distinguish how the proposed study differs from other relevant or recently completed research. Include a discussion of any current clinical use of the intervention under investigation and/or details of its study in clinical trials for other indications (as applicable).
- **Hypothesis or Objective:** State the hypothesis to be tested or the objective to be reached.
- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project's specific aims to be funded by this award.

Research Strategy: Describe the study design, methods, models, and analyses, including appropriate controls and statistical analyses, in sufficient detail for assessment of the application. Explain how this research strategy will meet the research goals and milestones. Describe the statistical plan including power analysis, as appropriate, for the research proposed. Address potential pitfalls and problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. Provide a well-developed, well-integrated, and detailed research plan that supports the translational feasibility and promise of the approach. Describe the methods that will be used to recruit a sample of human subjects from the accessible population (e.g., convenience, simple random, stratified random). Provide metrics on the available participants at each research site (e.g., throughput of potential participants).

For research involving human subjects:

- Identify intervention to be tested (if applicable) and describe the projected outcomes.
- Define the study variables and describe how they will be measured. Include a description of appropriate controls and the endpoints to be tested.
- Describe the methods that will be used to recruit a sample of human subjects from the accessible population (e.g., convenience, simple random, stratified random).
- Describe the human subject-to-group assignment process (e.g., randomization, block randomization, stratified randomization, age-matched controls, alternating group, or other procedures), if applicable. Explain the specific actions to accomplish the group assignment (e.g., computer assignment, use of table of random numbers).
- **Military Benefit and Impact:** Briefly explain how the proposed project will have an immediate or potential long-term impact on the health and well-being of Service members, Veterans, and/or their family members.
- **Outcomes:** If successful, describe the degree to which the anticipated research outcomes may advance the field of orthotics-related neuromusculoskeletal injuries rehabilitation research and patient care. Describe the degree to which the research may improve current interventions and/or standard of care. Describe how the research will contribute to the development or validation of evidence-based policy or guidelines for patient evaluation and care. Describe potential issues that might limit the impact of the proposed research.
- Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation. Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named "Support.pdf." If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

- References Cited (one-page limit): List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
- List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
- **Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources:** Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form or format for this information.
- **Publications and/or Patents:** Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
- **Letters of Organizational Support:** Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the appropriate organization official with approval authority, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement/ Funding Opportunity, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.
- **Letters of Collaboration (if applicable):** Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
- Letter(s) of Support for Use of Military and VA Populations or Resources (if applicable): If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, include a letter(s) of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, confirming such access. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources.
- Intellectual Property
 - Intangible property acquired, created or developed under this award will be subject to all rights and responsibilities established at 2 CFR 200.315.
 Should the applicant intend to use, in the performance of this program, preexisting, legally protected and perfected intangible property and for which no Federal funds had been used in the development of said property, the applicant must:
 - Clearly identify all such property;

- Identify the cost to the Federal government for use or license of such property, if applicable; or
- Provide a statement that no property meeting this definition will be used on this project.
- Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
- **Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan:** Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.
- Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "TechAbs.pdf." The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. *Do not include proprietary or confidential information.* Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.
 - **Background:** State how the proposed research addresses one or more of the FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas, and areas of encouragement, if applicable. Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
 - **Objective/Hypothesis:** State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
 - **Specific Aims:** State the specific aims of the study.
 - **Study Design:** Briefly describe the study design, including appropriate controls.
 - **Military Benefit:** Briefly explain how the proposed project will have an immediate or potential long-term impact on the health and well-being of Service members, Veterans, and/or their family members.
- Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "LayAbs.pdf." The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. *Do not include proprietary or confidential information*. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Lay abstracts should be written using the following outline. Do not duplicate the technical abstract.

- Describe the objectives and rationale for the proposed project in a manner that will be *readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine*.
- Describe the ultimate applicability and potential impact of the research.

- Describe the types of patients that will be helped by the research, and how it will help them. Include currently available statistics to the related injury/condition.
- Describe potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks.
- Describe the projected timeline to achieve the expected patient-related outcome.
- Describe how the proposed project will benefit Service members, Veterans, and/or their family members.
- Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as "SOW.pdf." The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u>). For the Orthotics Outcomes Research Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled "SOW Generic Format." The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.
- Attachment 6: Military Benefit and Impact (one-page limit): Upload as "MilBen.pdf."
 - Describe how the proposed study is responsive to the healthcare needs of Service members and Veterans. Provide information about the incidence and/or prevalence of the disease or condition to be studied in Service members and/or Veterans, if appropriate and available. Show how the proposed orthotics study complements ongoing DoD and VA areas of research interest.
 - If active duty military and/or Veteran population(s) will be used in the proposed research, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed study, and the feasibility of accessing the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research, explain how the population simulates the targeted population (i.e., Service members or Veterans).
- Attachment 7: Transition Plan (two-page limit). Upload as "Transition.pdf." Provide information on the methods and strategies proposed to move the advance the effort to the next phase of research or delivery to the military or civilian market after successful completion of the award. The transition plan should include the components listed below.
 - Details of the funding strategy to transition to the next level of development and/or commercialization (e.g., partners, internal/external funding opportunities to be pursued.)
 - For Knowledge Products, a description of collaborations and other resources that will be used to provide continuity of development including proposed development or modification of clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) and recommendations, provider training materials, patient brochures, and other clinical support tools, scientific journal publications, models, simulations, and

applications. (A "Knowledge Product" is a non-material product that addresses an identified need, topic area, or capability gap, is based on current evidence and research, aims to transition into medical practice, training, tools, or to support material solutions (systems to develop, acquire, provide, and sustain medical solutions and capabilities), and educates or impacts behavior throughout the continuum of care, including primary prevention of negative outcomes.)

- Ownership rights/access to the intellectual property necessary for the development and/or commercialization of products or technologies supported with this award and the Government's ability to access such products or technologies in the future.
- A risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability.
- Attachment 8: Current Quad Chart (one-page limit): Upload as "QuadChart.ppt." Provide a current Quad Chart in the same PowerPoint (.ppt) format as in the pre-application. If no changes have been made to the project, the same Quad Chart submitted with the pre-application may be used.
- Attachment 9: PI Eligibility Statement (one-page limit if applicable, required for Research Level 1/New Investigator): Upload as "PIEligibility.pdf." Use the Eligibility Statement template (available for download on the Full Announcement page for this Program Announcement/ Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov) signed by the Department Chair, Dean, or equivalent official to verify that the PI meets the eligibility requirements shown in Section I.D., Eligibility Information, of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Attachment 10: IND/IDE Documentation (if applicable; no page limit): If submitting multiple documents, start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named "IND-IDE.pdf."
 - Complete the IND/IDE Documentation Form, which is available for download on the Full Announcement page for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov.
 - If an IND or IDE has been submitted, an explanation of the status of the IND or IDE should be provided (e.g., past the critical 30-day period, pending response to questions raised by the Agency, on clinical hold). Inclusion of a copy of the Agency meeting minutes is encouraged but not required. If the IND or IDE application is not yet submitted, provide evidence that an IND or IDE will be submitted within 120 days of award. Examples include a pre-IND or pre-IDE meeting with the FDA, a pre-IND/pre-IDE meeting request to the FDA, or other communication with the FDA. Provide the anticipated date of IND/IDE submission. If an IND or IDE is not required for the proposed study, provide evidence in the form of communication from the FDA or the IRB of record to that effect.

- Attachment 11: Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures (if applicable; required for all studies recruiting human subjects; no page limit): Upload as "HumSubProc.pdf." The Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures attachment should include the components listed below.
 - **Study Population:** Describe the target population (to whom the study findings will be generalized) and the nature, approximate number, and pertinent demographic characteristics of the accessible population at the study site (population from whom the sample will be recruited/drawn). Provide metrics on the available participants at each research site and demonstrate that the research team has access to the proposed study population. Furthermore, discuss past efforts (including specific metrics of enrollment and retention) in recruiting human subjects from the target population for previous clinical research (if applicable). Address any potential barriers to accrual and plans for addressing unanticipated delays. Include justification of any age, race, ethnicity, or sex limitations provided.
 - **Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:** List the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the proposed clinical trial. Inclusion/exclusion criteria should take into consideration the specific risk profile of the studies to be conducted and the standard of care for that patient population. Provide detailed justification for exclusions.
 - Inclusion of Women and Minorities in Study. Consistent with the Belmont Report, "Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects," and Congressional legislation, special attention is given to inclusion of women and/or minorities in studies funded or supported by the USAMRMC. This policy is intended to promote equity both in assuming the burdens and in receiving the benefits of human subjects research. Include an appropriate justification if women and/or minorities will be excluded from the clinical trial.
 - **Description of the Recruitment Process:** Explain methods for identification of potential human subjects (e.g., medical record review, obtaining sampling lists, and healthcare provider identification).
 - Describe the recruitment process in detail. Address who will identify potential human subjects, who will recruit them, and what methods will be used to recruit them.
 - Include a detailed description of and justification for the compensation plan if the human subjects will be compensated for participation in the study.
 - Describe the recruitment and advertisement materials. The recruitment materials should not be coercive or offer undue inducements and should accurately reflect the study.
 - **Description of the Informed Consent Process:** Specifically describe the plan for obtaining informed consent from human subjects.
 - For the proposed study, provide a draft, in English, of the Informed Consent Form.

- Identify who is responsible for explaining the study, answering questions, and obtaining informed consent. Include a plan for ensuring that human subjects' questions will be addressed during the consent process and throughout the trial.
- Include information regarding the timing and location of the consent process.
- Address issues relevant to the mental capacity of the potential human subject (e.g., altered capacity due to administration of any mind-altering substances such as tranquilizers, conscious sedation or anesthesia, brain injury, stress/life situations, or human subject age), if applicable.
- Address how privacy and time for decision making will be provided and whether or not the potential human subject will be allowed to discuss the study with anyone before making a decision.
- Consider the need for obtaining ongoing consent or for re-assessing capacity over the course of a long-term study and describe any relevant procedures to assure continued consent.
- Describe the plan for the consent of the individual's Legally Authorized Representative (LAR) to be obtained prior to the human subject's participation in the study. State law defines who may act as the LAR. The local IRB of record should be consulted for guidance regarding who can serve as LAR for research at the study site. Note: The PI must describe a clear intent to benefit for human subjects who cannot give their own consent to participate in the proposed clinical trial to be in compliance with Title 10 United States Code Section 980 (10 USC 980) (<u>https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/ pkg/USCODE-2011-title10/pdf/USCODE-2011-title10-subtitleA-partIIchap49-sec980.pdf</u>). If applicable, please refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, for more information.
- Assent for minors or other populations that cannot provide informed consent: If these populations are included in the proposed clinical trial, a plan to obtain assent (agreement) from those with capacity to provide it, or a justification for a waiver of assent, should be provided. PIs should consult with their local IRB to identify the conditions necessary for obtaining assent.
- Screening Procedures: List and describe any evaluations (e.g., laboratory procedures, history, or physical examination) that are required to determine eligibility/suitability for study participation and the diagnostic criteria for entry. Please note that some screening procedures may require a separate consent or a two-stage consent process. Informed consent must be obtained prior to initiation of any procedures for the purpose of determining eligibility.

• Risks/Benefits Assessment:

 Foreseeable risks: Clearly identify all study risks. Study risks include any risks that the human subject is subjected to as a result of participation in the clinical trial. Consider psychological, legal, social, and economic risks as well as physical risks. If the risks are unknown, this should be stated. If applicable, any potential risk to the study personnel should be identified.

- Risk management and emergency response:
 - Describe all safety measures to minimize and/or eliminate risks to human subjects and study personnel or to manage unpreventable risks. Include safeguards and planned responses such as dose reduction or stopping criteria based on toxicity grading scales or other predetermined alert values.
 - Discuss the overall plan for provision of emergency care or treatment for an adverse event for study-related injuries, to include who will be responsible for the cost of such care.
 - Address any special precautions to be taken by the human subjects before, during, and after the study (e.g., medication washout periods, dietary restrictions, hydration, fasting, pregnancy prevention).
 - Describe any special care (e.g., wound dressing assistance, transportation due to side effects of study intervention impairing ability to drive) or equipment (e.g., thermometers, telemedicine equipment) needed for human subjects enrolled in the study.
- **Potential benefits:** Describe known and potential benefits of the study to the human subject, a specific community, or society.
- Attachment 12: Data Management (if applicable; required for all studies recruiting human subjects; no page limit): Upload as "Data_Manage.pdf." The Data Management attachment should include the components listed below.
 - **a. Data Management:** Describe all methods used for data collection to include the following:
 - **Identifiers:** Describe the unique identifiers or specific code system to be used to identify human subjects, if applicable.
 - Confidentiality:
 - Explain measures taken to protect the privacy of study human subjects and maintain confidentiality of study data. Strategies to protect the privacy and confidentiality of study records, particularly those containing identifying information, should be addressed.
 - Address who will have access to study records, data, and specimens, including an acknowledgment that representatives of USAMRMC are eligible to review study records.
 - Address requirements for reporting sensitive information to state or local authorities.
 - Disposition of Data: Describe where data (both electronic and hard copy) will be stored, how the data will be stored, who will keep the stored data, and the length of time data will be stored.

- Sharing study results: In cases where the human subject could possibly benefit medically or otherwise from the information, explain whether or not the results of screening and/or study participation will be shared with human subjects or their primary care provider, to include results from any screening or diagnostic tests performed as part of the study.

b. Laboratory Evaluations

- Specimens to be collected, schedule, and amount: All specimens that will be collected for study purposes must be clearly stated. The collection schedule and amount of material collected must also be clearly described.
- Evaluations to be made: Describe all evaluations that will be made for study purposes. Explain how the results of laboratory evaluations will be used to meet the objectives of the study (or to monitor safety of human subjects).
- Storage: Describe specimen storage, to include location of storage, how long specimens will be stored, any special conditions required, labeling, and disposition. Outline the plan to store specimens for future use to include considerations for informed consent and providing human subjects with an opportunity to decline participation in the study.
- Labs performing evaluations and special precautions: Identify the laboratory performing each evaluation, as well as any special precautions that should be taken in handling the samples. Special precautions that should be taken by the human subject before, during, or after the laboratory procedure should be clearly defined. If transport of samples is required, describe provisions for ensuring proper storage during transport.
- Attachment 13: Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s), if applicable: Upload as "MFBudget.pdf." If a Military Facility (military health system facility, research laboratory, treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form, available for download on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u>), including a budget justification, for each Military Facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.
- **3. Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.
 - PI Biographical Sketch (six-page limit): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf." The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u>) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used.

All biographical sketches should be submitted in the portable document format (pdf) that is not editable.

Biographical Sketches should be used to demonstrate background and expertise through education, positions, publications, and previous work accomplished.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf."
- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (six-page limit each): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf."
- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf."
- **4. Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.
 - Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as "BudgetJustification.pdf." The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.
- **5. Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.
- **6. R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

Collaborating DoD Military Facilities Form: A Military Facility collaborating in the performance of the project should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. However, do not complete the Grants.Gov R & R Subaward Budget Attachment Form; instead, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form (use Attachment 13, Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

D. Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of an application submitted to Grants.gov. Following retrieval and processing of the Grants.gov application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the Grants.gov application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. *If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the*

application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

E. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the <u>title page</u> of this Program Announcement/ Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

F. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All extramural applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an "Active" status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for information on Grants.gov registration requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. Each application is evaluated for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the DHA RDA Directorate and the OASD(HA), based on technical merit, the relevance to the mission of the DHP and OPORP, the specific intent of the award mechanism, and to other specified evaluation criteria in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Programmatic review is a comparison-based process in which applications *from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section III.B.2., Programmatic Review.* Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.shtml.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the meritbased selection process. Panel members sign a statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization's application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance.

• Research Strategy and Feasibility

- How well the preliminary data and scientific rationale support the research project.
- How relevant and applicable the proposed research and findings are to at least one of the FY16 OPORP OORA Focus Areas, and areas of encouragement, if applicable.
- How well the hypotheses or objectives, aims, experimental design, methods, and analyses are developed and integrated into the project.
- How consistent the methods and procedures are with sound research design.
- How well the PI acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
- Whether the research can be completed within the proposed period of performance.
- To what degree the statistical model and data analysis plan are suitable for the planned study.
- How well the PI has outlined a plan for management and sharing of research data as appropriate for the type of study.
- For clinical trials and research involving human subjects:
 - How well the PI describes the population(s) of interest, demonstrates access to these populations, has a viable plan for recruitment, consent, screening, and retention of appropriate subjects, and identifies sampling methods to gain a representative sample from the population(s) of interest.
 - How well plans for addressing ethical and regulatory considerations have been developed, including mitigation of risk, consideration of privacy issues, and the process for obtaining informed consent.
 - If applicable, whether there is evidence demonstrating availability of the device/intervention from its source for the duration of the proposed study.

• Military Benefit and Impact

- How well the PI describes the potential immediate and long-term effect on patient care.
- The potential immediate or long-term benefit and usability of the proposed research on the health and well-being of Service members, Veterans, and/or their families or communities.

• Personnel

- How the background and expertise of the PI and other key personnel demonstrate their ability to perform the proposed research or clinical trial.
- How the PI's record of accomplishment demonstrates his/her potential for contributing to the orthotics or prosthetics research field and completing the proposed work.
- Whether the composition of the research or study team (e.g., study coordinator, statistician) is appropriate.
- How the levels of effort by the PI and other key personnel are appropriate to ensure success of this project.

• Transition Plan

- Whether the funding strategy described to bring the anticipated research outcome(s) to the next level of development and/or delivery to the military or civilian market is appropriate.
- Whether appropriate collaborations and other resources for providing continuity of development are established and/or well described.
- How the schedule and milestones for bringing the outcome(s) to the next level of development are appropriate.
- How well the risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability is developed.
- How well the application identifies intellectual property ownership, describes any appropriate intellectual and material property plan among participating organizations (if applicable), and addresses any impact of intellectual property issues on product development and subsequent Government access to products supported by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- Environment
 - To what degree the scientific environment and the accessibility of institutional/organizational resources support the proposed research.
 - Whether the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed project.
- Budget
 - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and is within the limits of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

Application Presentation

• To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

- **2. Programmatic Review:** To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:
 - a. Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers
 - **b.** Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY16 OPORP, as evidenced by the following:
 - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
 - Program portfolio composition
 - Military relevance
 - Relative impact

C. Recipient Qualification

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the <u>title page</u> of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.
- Budget amount requested exceeds research level limit.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.

- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Research Level 1/New Investigator applications: Attachment 9, New Investigator PI Eligibility Form, is missing.
- For applications recruiting human subjects:
 - Attachment 10, Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures, is missing.
 - Attachment 11, Data Management, is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different Funding Opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- An FY16 OPORP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. *A list of the FY16 OPORP Programmatic Panel members can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/oporp/panels/oora-pora-panel16.shtml*.
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY16, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (<u>http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess.shtml</u>). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage COIs are provided and deemed appropriate by the Government. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for detailed information.

- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- An application submitted by a PI who does not meet the eligibility criteria will be withdrawn.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2017. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD's implementation of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014 may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part 200, "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards" (2 CFR part 200).

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section H, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly technical progress reports and quad charts will be required. In addition to written progress reports, in-person presentations will be requested.

E. Award Transfers

The organization transfer of an award supporting a clinical trial is strongly discouraged and in most cases will not be allowed. Approval of an organizational or PI transfer request will be on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the Grants Officer. An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. VERSION CODES AND AGENCY CONTACTS

A. Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity and General Application Instructions Version

Questions related to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity should refer to the Program name, the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity name, and the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity version code [20160210j]. The Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity numeric version code will match the General Applications Instructions version code [20160210].

B. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone:301-682-5507Email:help@eBRAP.org

C. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: <u>support@grants.gov</u>

Sign up on Grants.gov for "send me change notification emails" by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

| Grants.gov Application Components | Upload Order | Action | Completed |
|--|-----------------|--|-----------|
| SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance | | Complete form as instructed. | |
| | 1 | Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf" | |
| | 2 | Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf" | |
| | 3 | Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf" | |
| | 4 | Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf" | |
| | 5 | Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name "SOW.pdf" | |
| | 6 | Military Benefit and Impact: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name "MilBen.pdf" | |
| Attachments Form | 7 | Transition Plan: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name "Transition.pdf" | |
| | 8 | Current Quad Chart: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name "QuadChart.ppt" | |
| | 9 | PI Eligibility Statement (for Research Level 1/New Investigator): Upload as Attachment 9 with file name "PIEligibility.pdf" if applicable. | |
| | 10 | IND/IDE Documentation: Upload as Attachment 10 with file name "IND-IDE.pdf" if applicable. | |
| | 11 | Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures: Upload as Attachment 11 with file name "HumSubProc.pdf" if applicable. | |
| | 12 | Data Management: Upload as Attachment 12 with file name "Data_Manage.pdf" if applicable | |
| | 13 | Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 13 with file name "MFBudget.pdf" if applicable. | |
| | | Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field. | |
| Research & Related | | Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field. | |
| Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) | | Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each | |
| | | senior/key person to the appropriate field. | |
| | | Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field. | |
| Research & Related | | Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification | |
| Budget | | (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field. | |
| Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form | | Complete form as instructed. | |
| R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form | | Complete form as instructed. | |

VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST